DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 356 718 HE 026 374

AUTHOR Byce, Chuck; Schmitt, Carl

TITLE Quality of Responses in the 1987 National

Postsecondary Student Aid Study. Technical Report.

Contractor Report.

INSTITUTION MPR Associates, Berkeley, CA.

SPONS AGENCY National Center for Education Statistics (ED),

Washington, DC.

REPORT NO NCES-93-446

PUB DATE Apr 93
NOTE 95p.

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC04 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Colleges; College Students; Data Analysis;

Demography; Higher Education; *National Surveys; Parent Financial Contribution; Parents; Paying for College; *Responses; Student Financial Aid; Tuition;

*Validity

IDENTIFIERS *National Postsecondary Student Aid Study

ABSTRACT

An analysis was done of the quality of the data supplied by respondents to the National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, 1987 (NPSAS:87). The NPSAS:87 was a large-scale survey that obtained extensive data on the educational, demographic, and financial aspects of postsecondary students and their parents. The study compared the responses to similar questions asked of the three groups of respondents to determine the consistency and validity of responses. In pr cicular comparisons were made for similar items between students and institutions, between parents and institutions, and between parents and students. The analysis found the following: (1) good correspondence was found between student and institution respondents for factual demographic information such as student age, sex, year of high school degree and marital status; (2) there was little agreement between students and institutions with regard to whether or not students received certain types of financial aid with students tending to underreport amount of aid received; (3) there was good agreement between parents and institutions for reporting adjusted gross income; (4) parents were not as accurate in reporting on tuition and fees as institutions and parents systematically overreported the amount paid for tuition and fees. Appendixes contain methodology and technical information. (JB)



^{*} Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

Quality of Responses in the 1987 National Postsecondar Student Aid Study

Contractor Report

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

Technical Report

April 1993

National Postsecondary Student Aid Study

Quality of Responses in the 1987 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study

Contractor Report

Chuck Byce MPR Associates, Inc.

Carl Schmitt
Project Officer
National Center for Education Statistics





U.S. Department of Education

Richard W. Riley Secretary

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Emerson J. Elliott
Acting Assistant Secretary

National Center for Education Statistics

Emerson J. Elliott Commissioner

National Center for Education Statistics

"The purpose of the Center shall be to collect, analyze, and disseminate statistics and other data related to education in the United States and in other nations."—Section 406(b) of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1).

April 1993

Contact: Carl Schmitt (202) 219–1642



Foreword

This report uses information about students, parents, and institutions from the National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, 1987 (NPSAS:87). The information analyzed ranges from the demographic data about the students and parents to the financial aid received by the student from the institution. The report compares the responses to similar questions asked of the three groups of respondents to determine the consistency and validity of responses.

NPSAS:87 is a large-scale survey that contains extensive information on the education, demographic, and financial aspects of postsecondary education of students and their parents. The survey is representative of both graduate and undergraduate students who attend all sectors of postsecondary institutions. The financial aid information collected in the survey is of particular importance since the process of awarding financial aid by institutions and the method by which students finance their education are complex.

The purpose of this report is to provide a broad look at the quality of the data supplied by different respondents. The report is not the definitive analysis of these data. It is hoped that other researchers will use the results from this report to further explore the issues of data quality.

Information about obtaining the NPSAS data file is available from the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Research and Improvement, Information Technology Branch, 555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W., Room 215, Capitol Place Building, Washington, D.C. 20208.

Paul D. Planchon, Associate Commissioner National Center for Education Statistics Office of Educational Research and Improvement C. Dennis Carroll, Chief
Longitudinal Studies Branch
National Center for
Education Statistics
Office of Education Research and
Improvement



Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank all those persons who contributed to the production of this report, particularly Laura Horn. Kieri Custodio, Ramona McCowan, and Sharlene Mulder produced and formatted the tables.

Thanks also to Bob Burton of the NCES, who provided very helpful comments on an early draft of the report. We would also like to acknowledge the panel of reviewers who read the report: Roz Korb, Steven Kaufman, and Andy Kolstad of NCES, and Tim Christensen of the National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators.

Special thanks go to C. Dennis Carroll and Carl M. Schmitt of the Longitudinal Studies Branch for their comments and suggestions throughout the life of this task.



Highlights

This report examines the quality of responses to the 1986-87 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study survey. Three sets of comparisons were made for similar items asked in the survey: between students and institutions, between parents and institutions, and between parents and students. Items that were compared include demographic characteristics, family financial characteristics, and financial aid information. Correspondence was measured using two correlation methods. Pearson's product moment correlation was employed for variables measured on an interval or ordinal scale such as parents' education; and Cramer's V was used for variables measured on a nominal scale such as race-ethnicity. Whether or not there was a systematic bias in reporting dollar amounts was also examined. A few of the major highlights are as follows:

Student-Institution Comparisons

- Good correspondence was found between student and institution responses for factual demographic information such as student's age, sex, year of high school degree and marital status (correlation coefficients ranging from .81 to .97). Agreement was slightly lower for race-ethnicity (correlation = .75).
- There was little agreement between students and institutions with regard to whether or not students received certain types of financial aid (mean correlation for receipt of grant aid, work aid, loan aid, and federal aid = .14).
- Somewhat better correspondence was found for the actual amounts of aid received, though agreement was still relatively low (.38). The best agreement was found for the amount of loan aid received (.52).
- Compared to institution records, students systematically underreported the amounts of financial aid they received: by 16 percent for loan aid, 32 percent for grant aid, and 76 percent for work aid.
- Students were somewhat more reliable in reporting family financial characteristics such as income and federal income tax paid (average correlation = .63).

Parent-Institution Comparisons

- There was relatively good agreement between parents and institutions for reporting adjusted gross income (.83), number of dependents (.79), and federal income taxes paid (.76).
- Parents were not as accurate in reporting on tuition and fees relative to the institution record (.65). Furthermore, parents systematically overreported the amount paid for tuition and fees.

Parent-Student Comparisons

Students and parents were in relative agreement when reporting on parents'



highest education (.63 and .69, respectively, for mother's and father's education).

- While students and parents had moderate agreement for the amount paid for tuition and fees (.64), they had poor agreement for parent's income (.27) and for the amount of money parents lent to students (.17).
- There was better agreement between parents and students with respect to the amount of non-loan contributions parents made toward students' educational expenses (.54).
- Relative to their parents, students tended to overreport the amount of non-loan contributions made by their parents and underreport the amount of loans provided by parents.



Table of Contents

Foreword	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Highlights	v
List of Tables	viii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1 2
Chapter 2: Data and Methodology	5 5 9
Chapter 3: Findings and Results Student-Institution Comparisons Parent-Institution Comparisons Parent-Student Comparisons	13 13 21 26
Chapter 4: Comparison of NPSAS:87 and CPS Data	31
Chapter 5: Summary and Conclusions	35
Appendix A: Methodology and Technical Notes	A-1
Appendix B: Alternative Measures of Correspondence	B-1
Appendix C: Statistical Tables	C-1
Appendix D: Data Items with Differences in Wording Between Respondents	D-1



List of Tables

2.1	NPSAS:87 variables used for analyzing the quality of responses	9
2.2	Subgroups used for analyzing quality of responses	10
3.1	Correlation between student and institution responses to various NPSAS questionnaire items	14
3.2	Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of family financial items and student financial aid requested in NPSAS:87	15
3.3a	Average correlation between student and institution responses for various groups of NPSAS:87 questionnaire items, by institutional type and control	16
3.3b	Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of student financial aid requested in NPSAS:87, by institutional type and control	17
3.4a	Average correlation between student and institution responses for various groups of NPSAS:87 questionnaire items, by attendance and dependency status	18
3.4b	Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of student financial aid requested in NPSAS:87, by attendance and dependency status	18
3.5a	Average correlation between student and institution responses for various groups of NPSAS:87 questionnaire items, by selected student characteristics	19
3.5b	Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of student financial aid received in NPSAS:87, by selected student characteristics	20
3.6	Correlation and the level of bias between parent and institution responses for various NPSAS:87 items	21
3.7	Correlation between parent and institution responses for family financial items and tuition and fees paid, by selected characteristics	23
3.8	Bias between parent responses and institution data for adjusted gross income, federal taxes paid, and tuition and fees	25
3.9	Correlation between student and parent responses to various NPSAS:87 questionnaire items	26



3.10	loans and contributions to educational expenses	27
3.11	Correlation between student and parent responses for education expenses, parent loans, and parent contributions, by selected subgroups	28
3.12	Bias between student and parent responses for education expenses, parent loans, and parent contributions as a percentage of parent response, by selected subgroups	29
4.1	Percentage of students in the October 1985 CPS and NPSAS:87, by sex, age, sex by age, race, and marital status by sex	32
4.2	Percentage of students in the October 1985 CPS and NPSAS:87, by family income	33



Chapter 1

Introduction

The need for a comprehensive national database on postsecondary student financial aid prompted the U.S. Department of Education to conduct the 1987 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS:87). NPSAS is a nationally representative survey of postsecondary students and the institutions they attend. It also includes a subsample of students' parents. NPSAS participants provided information on many aspects of students' educational experiences, including course loads, costs, and financial aid. In addition, NPSAS provides detailed information on the demographic and economic backgrounds of students and their parents. The primary objective of the survey was to gather data on students' attendance costs at postsecondary institutions and on how students and their parents finance these costs.

Earlier studies about student financial aid were often limited because the data were obtained from a single source of respondents or concerned only a single financial aid program. In order to obtain accurate and complete information on student participation in financial aid programs, especially among students participating in multiple financial aid programs, it is essential to collect information from a combination of sources including students, parents, and institutions.² Thus, NPSAS was conceived to provide the first such comprehensive and nationally representative student-level database on postsecondary financial aid.

This report presents results from an analysis of the NPSAS:87 survey data. Specifically, this study compares self-reported information provided by students and their parents with information obtained from the institution. Comparisons between student and parent responses to similar items are also examined. The data are assessed by determining how well the information from the three sources corresponds. This particular analysis was conducted without the use of weights associated with the data. It was designed to study whether or not specific questionnaire items obtained accurate information. Comparisons of responses were analyzed on the whole respondent population and by major subgroups of student respondents such as attendance status, dependency status, and institutional type.

This report is organized into five chapters. This introductory chapter provides an overview of earlier survey data assessment studies and their relation to NPSAS. Chapter 2 discusses the NPSAS data and the methodology used to assess the quality of responses. Chapter 3 presents the findings, comparing student and parent responses with data collected from the institutions, and shows the correspondence between the responses of students and their parents. Chapter 4 compares the characteristics of the NPSAS students with those of the college-enrolled sample of the Current Population Survey (CPS). Chapter 5 concludes the report with a summary and discussion of the results.

Overview



¹ For a detailed description of NPSAS, see U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, *Methodology Report for the National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, 1987*, NCES 90-309, (Washington, D.C.: March 1990).

² [bid., 1.

Most of the studies analyzing the accuracy of self-reported survey data focus on two measures of quality: the validity of the data in relation to some true value and the reliability of the reported data over time. The validity coefficient, therefore, is generally defined as the correlation between the reported data and the "true" value, while the reliability coefficient is defined as the correlation between two responses to the same item given by an individual at different times. These measures represent an ideal means of assessment. However, as is often the case, a "true" value does not exist to test the validity of responses. Therefore, when there is more than one group of respondents such as students and parents or, in the case of NPSAS, students, parents, and institutions, a way of assessing the validity of information is to assume that one source of information is accurate and to test the other source against the "correct" one. Although this method does not necessarily ascertain the true value of the information, it does determine whether answers by one type of respondent are consistent with those of another type.

Social scientists often rely on survey data to analyze social experiences. The accuracy of self-reported information obtained from these surveys, however, has often been called into question. Consequently, a substantial literature on the validity and reliability of self-reported survey data has been generated. Most studies have analyzed the accuracy of student responses concerning their school experiences and the socioeconomic characteristics of their family by comparing them with parent responses to similar items. For example, when comparing the responses of students surveyed in High School and Beyond (HS&B), Fetters et al. were able to measure both the reliability and the validity of the student-reported data. In general, the authors found that students reported factual items such as race-ethnicity and parents' educational level with relative accuracy. However, students tended to be less accurate when reporting more subjective information, such as mothers' expectations for educational achievement. One factual item that students seemed to have great difficulty in reporting accurately was family income. This has also been found by other researchers. For example, Kayser and Summers found that although high school students were accurate reporters of their parents' education, they were not good reporters of their father's income.

The results found by Fetters et al. confirm those findings from additional studies on the validity of student reports of family characteristics. In particular, Cohen and Orum found that children as young as age 9 already have an accurate idea of their parents' education level and occupations. In studying the responses of sons concerning the educational attainment and occupations of their fathers, Borus and Nestel concluded that, on average, the children's responses were similar to those of their fathers. Researchers have identified a number of factors that influence the accuracy of student-reported information. These factors include the wording of questions, the specific type of information requested, and the characteristics of the responding students such as age, gender, and race-ethnicity. In general, studies have determined



³ For a more comprehensive discussion of validity and reliability, see D. Brinberg and L. Kidder, eds.. Forms of Validity in Research (San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1982); and E. Carmines and R. Zeller, "Reliability and Validity Assessment," Sage Publications, Vol. 17 (1979).

⁴ U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, W. Fetters, P. Stowe, and J. Owings, High School and Beyond: A National Longitudinal Study for the 1980's: Quality of Responses of High School Students to Questionnaire Items (Washington D.C., September 1984).

⁵ B. Kayser and G. Summers, "The Adequacy of Student Reports of Parental SES Characteristics," Sociological Methods and Research, 1 (5) (February 1973).

⁶ R. Cohen and A. Orum, "Parent-Child Consensus on Socioeconomic Data from Sample Surveys," *Public Opinion Quarterly* 36 (Spring 1972).

⁷ M. Borus and G. Nestel, "Response Bias in Reports of Father's Education and Socioeconomic Status," *Journal of American Statistical Association* 68 (344) (December 1973): 818.

that older children respond to survey questions more accurately than do younger children. For example, Fetters et al. found HS&B seniors provided more accurate answers than did sophomores. Kerchoff et al. found that the validity of responses increased with the age of the children responding. However, this study also showed that this age-related trend tended to flatten out by the ninth grade.

Students' gender and race-ethnicity have also been found to influence accuracy in reporting family-related information. Fetters et al. found females to be slightly more accurate respondents than males and white students to be somewhat more accurate than black students. Kerchoff et al. found that among younger boys (in the 6th and 9th grades), white students tended to be more accurate respondents than black students, although this discrepancy diminished by the 12th grade.

The way in which questions are asked on a survey plays an important role in how accurately students respond to family-related questions. Specifically, Cohen and Orum discovered that children were able to identify their father's occupation more accurately when the question was open-ended than when the question provided categories from which to choose. Furthermore, this study also showed that questions with few categories resulted in greater response accuracy than questions with many categories, and that items with the option of "I don't know" were reported more accurately than those without such an option.

Finally, the most obvious factor affecting self-reported survey data is the type of information requested. Studies assessing the validity and reliability of self-reported data consistently show that factual information is much more accurately reported than more subjective information. Furthermore, questions that are personally sensitive tend to produce less accurate responses than those that are not. Of particular importance to NPSAS is the fact that among the studies cited in this discussion assessing students' ability to report family income, all found that students are not a good source of this information. This may be the combined result of income being a sensitive item to some students and parents not generally discussing family income with their children.

The students participating in the NPSAS survey were older than those in the surveys cited in this discussion and should be more accurate reporters of family income. However, with its focus primarily on the financial aspects of postsecondary education attendance, the NPSAS survey goes far beyond other education surveys in requesting financially related information. For example, the NPSAS:87 financial aid questions were detailed and often complex and, as reported in the findings of this study, proved to be problematic for many student respondents. The U.S. Department of Education has conducted studies demonstrating that there is often disagreement between the information that families provide on their financial aid applications and the values as reported on their tax returns.⁸

⁸ See the results of the Pell and Title IV Quality Control Studies conducted by Advanced Technology for the Division of Quality Assurance, U. S. Department of Education. In particular, *Quality in the Pell Grant Delivery System*, Volume 1-Findings, Contractor Report, under contract No. 300-80-0952.

Chapter 2

Data and Methodology

NPSAS:87 is a cross-sectional survey of a nationally representative sample of students enrolled in postsecondary education in the fall of 1986. The survey included more than 43,000 students enrolled in over 1,000 postsecondary institutions. The student file contains responses on students' demographic characteristics, their educational experiences and aspirations, the financial situation of both students and their parents, the costs of attending college, and all sources of financial aid. The institutional file contains information on student demographics, family finances, and financial aid. In addition, the parents of a subsample of 27,000 students were surveyed to collect information on educational costs and financing and on family financial, educational, and employment characteristics. Because institutional records do not include family financial information on students who do not receive financial aid, a major objective of the parent survey was to collect this information for students who were financially dependent on their parents but who did not receive financial aid.

Limitations of the Data

There are some factors specifically related to the NPSAS survey that may influence the results of this analysis and should be taken into account when interpreting the findings. These factors, described in greater detail below, include:

- the timing of data collection for the three components of the survey (i.e., institutions, students, and parents) and the effect of long-term recall;
- the complexity of information requested;
- the comparability of information requested from students, parents, and institutions; and
- the limited sample size for many comparisons.

Timing of Data Collection

There were three groups of respondents to the NPSAS:87 survey including institutions, students, and parents. Data were collected from each of these groups at different times. For example, student surveys were mailed in six waves from mid-March through June 1987. Many of the questions asked of the students referred to the fall of 1986. Thus, toward the end of the



For more information about the design and data collection procedures of the NPSAS:87 data file, see U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Methodology Report for the National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, 1987, Contractor Report, NCES 90-309 (March, 1990).

¹⁰ Parents of financially independent students over 25 years old were not included in the sample.

school year, many students were asked to respond to events that took place at the beginning of the school year.

Institution data, on the other hand, were initially collected between December 1986 and March 1987. Most importantly, however, since financial aid-related information often changes during the academic year, additional *updated* institution data were collected from August 1987 through December 1987. Therefore, information originally supplied to the institution by students and parents or information concerning the amount of financial aid the student received could have changed during the year.

Finally, the parent data were collected in two stages. The surveys were mailed in May, June, and July 1987. Subsequently, between August and December of the same year, parents who did not respond to the mailed survey were telephoned and administered a telephone version of the survey. Given these circumstances, recall may also have been a problem for the parents who were asked to provide information that could have been up to a year old.

Two potential problems arise in using the institution as the point of comparison for studentand parent-reported data. One is the timing itself, in which students and parents were asked to provide detailed financial information relating to a time several months prior to data collection. Second, the institution records were updated to more accurately reflect financial aid information and it is this updated information that constitutes the final institution record for each student.

Complexity of Information

The focus of the NPSAS survey is to provide answers to fundamental questions about student aid including the status of student participation, the impact of financial aid programs on student enrollment and progress, and the impact of changes in financial aid policies on students and program costs. ¹² In order to collect comprehensive information on such a large scale, it was necessary to collect data not only from students, but also from the institutions they attended and from a sample of parents. Furthermore, the financial information requested in NPSAS was far more extensive than that reported in previous surveys.

As discussed in the introductory chapter, studies have shown that students (high school age or younger) have difficulty accurately reporting family income. While postsecondary students are older and presumably capable of more accurately reporting on family income, the items requested in NPSAS were far more complex and sometimes required long-term recall as well as financial statements and documentation. In addition, parents may still not discuss their family income even with college age students. Students were asked whether or not they received specific types of student aid such as grants, loans, work-study, fellowships, and so on; who awarded the financial aid (that is, the institution, the state, or the federal government); and the exact amount awarded for the school year. If the students did not have the documents and forms available to fill in this information, it is unlikely that they could accurately recall such detail several months later. In addition to financial aid information, students were also requested to itemize their average total monthly expenses and to further break-out the expenses related directly to their education.



At this time the registrar data provided the information necessary to locate respondents for the student and parent surveys.

¹² NPSAS:87 Methodology Report, 1.

Comparability of Information Collected from Institutions, Students, and Parents

The purpose of collecting data from the three different sources in the NPSAS survey was to provide as complete a picture as possible of how both aided and nonaided students pay for their postsecondary education. By using several data sources, NPSAS collected information on groups that have been systematically excluded from previous studies, such as students who do not participate in financial aid programs. With NPSAS data, therefore, analysts can conduct more in-depth examinations of both the level of need among students and the sources of their educational financing than were possible with previous studies.

Among the three groups of respondents, postsecondary institutions are the best source of data for federal, state, and institutional financial aid awards. ¹³ Institutions are also likely to be the most accurate source of information on the family finances of students who apply for financial aid because students who receive financial aid must provide the institution with detailed and often verified financial data. The student survey, on the other hand, was crucial for providing information on the financial characteristics of students who did not receive financial aid. Finally, the parent survey was necessary to collect information on financially dependent students who did not receive financial aid. The data collected from the three different sources were, therefore, designed to supplement each other. While some overlapping information was collected, which forms the basis for this analysis, the specific emphasis and wording of the data items were different for each source. (See Appendix D for data items with different wordings.)

Moreover, when there is overlapping information obtained from different types of respondents, just who should be considered the most accurate source of such information depends on what is requested. As discussed above, the institution should be the best source of financial aid information. However, for students not receiving financial aid and who are financially dependent on their parents, it would seem reasonable that parents, not students, would be the best source of family finance information. Students, on the other hand, regardless of their dependency status, might be the best reporters of living expenses and other costs of attending the institution. Consequently, there is no single "standard" group of respondents. Rather than trying to determine the "validity" of the data, this analysis was designed to assess the internal consistency of the NPSAS data reported by three groups of respondents.

Limited Sample Sizes for Comparisons

While about 43,000 students participated in the NPSAS survey, only students who received financial aid (approximately 26,000) had institution financial aid records. In addition, parents were surveyed for only a sample of students. Approximately 22,000 students were initially selected to have their parents surveyed. However, only 13,423 (58 percent) parents responded, among whom, about one-half responded by mail and the other half were contacted and interviewed by phone. ¹⁴ The response rate of parents of independent students was particularly low. Many of the overlap items collected from the three sources were only relevant to specific subgroups of students. For example, comparisons regarding actual amounts of financial aid awarded can only be made for those receiving the specific types of aid. Thus, these comparisons were possible for approximately 10,000 students who reported receiving federal aid, about 9,000 students who reported receiving loans, and about the 3,000 students who reported receiving work aid (see table 2.1 for sample sizes for each comparison). Additionally, a number of the financial



¹³ Ibid., 4.

¹⁴ A major reason for parent nonresponse was incomplete address information so that all parents could not be properly located.

items supplied by the students such as personal income, federal taxes, and number of dependents were relevant only for financially independent students.

Finally, in addition to survey non-response, item non-response was a problem for some of the variables examined. This was especially true of the parent survey, in which 33 percent of parents did not report adjusted gross income and 44 percent did not report federal income taxes paid.

Methodology

Comparisons of Responses

To assess the internal consistency of the information furnished by the three groups of respondents in NPSAS—students, parents, and institutions—three comparisons were made:

- student responses and institution data;
- parent responses and institution data; and
- student and parent responses.

The variables compared in each analysis are listed in table 2.1. The student-institution comparisons include student characteristics; financial items such as income; and financial aid receipt and awards. When comparing student information with institutional records, it was assumed that with respect to student characteristics items, students and institutions reported information with equal accuracy. However, with respect to information on financial aid, it was assumed that the institutional records were more accurate.

Five comparisons of parent responses with institutional data were possible using the NPSAS data. These included number of dependents, number of dependents in college, parents' adjusted gross income (AGI), federal income tax, and tuition and fees. It was assumed that both groups would provide equally accurate information about the number of dependents. For variables regarding the financial aspects of the family, however, it was assumed the institutions' records were more accurate since this information is used for awarding financial aid and therefore must be verifiable.

Table 2.1—NPSAS:87 variables used for analyzing the quality of responses

reaction recommendations	Included ¹	N	
Student characteristics (all students)			
Age	Ą	41,776	
Sex	A	43,037	
Race-ethnicity	Ą	42,793	
Marital status	Ą	43,176	
Type of HS degree	Ą	42,117	
Year of HS degree	Ą	23,325	
Student residence	Α	43,130	
Dependency status	Α	43,176	
Family and student financial items			
Parents' income	F/D	14,137	
Student's income	F/I	3,811	
Federal taxes	F/I	1,890	
Number of dependents	F/I	3,862	
Financial aid receipt and amount			
Received federal aid (Y/N) and amount	F	26,312 ²	10,504 ³
Received grant aid (Y/N) and amount	F	26,312 ²	9,4653
Received work aid (Y/N) and amount	F	26,312 ²	2,9213
Received loan aid (Y/N) and amount	F	26,312 ²	8,9723
Tuition and fees	Α	24,896²	
Parent—Institution Comparisons			
Number of dependents	P/F/D	2,072	
Number of dependents in college	P/F/D	*	
Parents' adjusted gross income (AGI)	P/F/D	•	
Parents' federal income tax	P/F/D		
Tuition and fees	P/D	7,545	
Turtion and tees	170	7,545	
Student—Parent Comparisons			
Parent's education			
Mother's education	P	10,130	
Father's education	P	9,108	
Family financial items			
Parents' income (categorical response)	P		
Claimed as tax exemption	P	11,121	
Number of weeks lived at home	P	5,461	
School costs			
Tuition and fees	P	7,545	
Room and board	P	6,681	
Student aid items			
Ever apply for financial aid (Y/N)	P	11,121	
Did parents contribute to education expen	ses P	11,121	
Amount parents contributed	P	7,406	
Amount loaned by parents	P	7,400	

A=all students, D=dependent students, I=independent students, F=received any financial aid, P=parents responded.

³ Number of students receiving specific financial aid and who reported amount.

The final set of comparisons, between students and parents, is more typical of previous analyses that assessed the quality of self-reported survey data. In this study, parents' responses were considered the standard against which students' responses were compared for demographic and family financial items. Both students and parents, however, were assumed to be equally reliable when reporting tuition and fees, room and board, and whether or not the student had ever applied for financial aid.



² Number of student—insitution pairs where at least one respondent reported receipt of financial aid.

Comparison by Subgroups

As discussed in chapter 1, previous studies indicate that the quality of responses to surveys may be affected by a variety of student characteristics such as gender, age, race-ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. Table 2.2 lists all the attributes including student, family, and institution characteristics, as well as the method of data collection, that were examined in detail for their associations with the consistency of responses in the NPSAS survey.

Table 2.2—Subgroups used for analyzing quality of responses¹⁵

Institution-related information
Institutional type
Attendance status
Dependency status

Student and family characteristics
Gender
Race-ethnicity
Dependent family income
Independent student income

Data collection

Mail or telephone survey

Statistical Measures of Correspondence between Responses

Several statistical methods can be used to assess the correspondence between two sets of responses. The most commonly used method is that of statistical correlation, which computes the correlation coefficient between two sets of responses. For variables measured on an interval or ordinal scale such as parents' education and number of siblings, a Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient (r) is employed. For variables on a nominal scale such as race-ethnicity, the statistic called Cramer's V is used. Both r and V can reach a maximum value of 1, and for dichotomous variables, V equals r.

$$V=\sqrt{X^2}/(N \cdot \min(I-1, J-1))$$

where N = number of observations

I = number of categories for variable i
 J = number of categories for variable i

Depending on the distribution of the data, other methods of assessment may be more useful. For example, if the data are highly skewed (an example might be if 90 percent of respondents answered "no" to a question and 10 percent answered "yes"), examining the percentage of matched pairs might be a more useful measure of correspondence than the



Correlations for a number of other subgroups including student's age by academic level are listed in appendix

¹⁶ M.G. Kendall and A. Stuart, The Advanced Theory of Statistics, Vol. 2 (New York: MacMillan, 1979).

correlation.¹⁷ Overall, however, it was determined that correlation would be the most illustrative method of measuring the correspondence in the NPSAS data.

Measurement of Bias

Bias may be related to measures of the correspondence between two sets of respondents. Student responses, for example, may correlate with parent responses, yet may systematically under- or over-estimate the value of a specific variable. For example, there may be a strong correlation between the two groups' estimation of parental expectations regarding the student's education, yet students may systematically report lower expectations than do their parents.

In the Fetters et al. report on the quality of responses in HS&B, bias was defined as the difference in response means of parents and students:

$$BIAS = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n_i} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n_i}$$

where

 x_i = the parent response to variable i,

y, = the student response to variable i, and

 n_i = the number of student-parent pairs for variable i.

While a positive bias was associated with overreporting by the student, a negative bias was associated with student underreporting. In this study, the level of bias is reported for all the correlations of variables that are dollar values. Bias is presented in two ways—the mean difference in amount and the percentage difference between the two values.



See appendix B for a discussion and comparison of the correlation coefficient versus the percentage of matched pairs.

Chapter 3

Findings and Results

Student-Institution Comparisons

The first set of comparisons in this section examines the consistency of the student and institution responses concerning demographic information. For this information, both groups of respondents were assumed to be equally reliable in reporting such items. The second set of comparisons concerns family financial items and financial aid items. For reasons discussed in chapter 2, the institutional data for these items were considered the standard against which the student responses were compared.

Demographic Items

Table 3.1 shows the correlation between the student and institution responses to demographic items. As would be expected, the correlations for such variables were quite high, ranging from .75 for race-ethnicity to .97 for age and sex. Overall, the mean correlation was .88 for these variables, well within the range of values found in other studies.

Family Financial Items

The next set of variables, also shown in table 3.1, describes family financial characteristics. The mean correlation for these variables was .63, with the lowest correlation being for parents' income (.33) and the highest for independent student's income (.82). The relatively low correlation for parents' income is consistent with previous studies showing students as relatively inaccurate reporters of their parents' income. Independent students' income, on the other hand, was highly correlated with the institution's record. Independent students, however, were not as accurate in reporting their federal income tax (.60) as they were their income.



Table 3.1—Correlation between student and institution responses to various NPSAS:87 questionnaire items

Variable	Correlation coefficient
Demographic items:	
Age	.97
Sex	.97
Race-ethnicity	.75
Marital status	.81
Type of high school degree	.81
Year of degree	.96
Student residence	.84
Dependency status	.90
	$Mean = .88^{1}$
Family financial items:2	
Parent's income	.33
Independent student's income	.82
Independent student's federal income tax paid	.60
Number of dependents	.66
	Mean = .63
Student aid-related items: (Y/N)	
Received grant aid	.13
Received work aid	.14
Received Ioan aid	.17
Received federal aid	.13
	Mean = .14
Student aid-related items: (dollar amount)	
Amount of grant aid	.24
Amount of work aid	.02
Amount of loan aid	.52
Amount of federal aid	.25
Tuition and fees	.59
	Mean = .38

¹ Means are computed using the squared values of the coefficients.

Student Financial Aid Items

The next two groups of variables are correlations for financial aid-related variables. The first group constitutes responses to yes-no questions indicating whether or not a student received a particular type of aid. The second group of variables refers to the amount of aid students received and the amount paid for tuition and fees.

These findings clearly demonstrate NPSAS students were not accurate reporters of whether or not they received a specific type of financial aid. The correlations ranged from .13 to .17. A further examination of the data revealed that institutions reported a student receiving aid much more often than did the student. It is not clear why there is such underreporting by students. As previously discussed, the fact that students need documentation to accurately answer such questions may be a problem. It may also be that students who receive aid from two or more sources may only report one source.

²The amount of students' savings was originally intended to be in the analysis. However, there were several hundred cases that seemed to be outliers where students reported having more than \$100,000 in savings, a 900 percent overestimate of what the institution reported. This appeared to be a significant problem, the reason for which is unclear.

The correlations between students and institutions for the actual amount of aid received were higher than the correlations for the receipt of aid. One exception to this was the amount of work aid received, a correlation of only .02. Unlike the other types of aid, however, the amount of work aid paid to a student may vary during the year. For example, in the beginning of the year a student may be awarded a certain number of hours of work, but the student may actually work fewer hours than were awarded. Even for those students who try to report the amount paid for hours worked, if they were surveyed before the end of the year, they would still be estimating the amount. The institution, on the other hand, had updated employment records at the time of the institution record update.

The correlation for the amount of loan aid received (.52) was the highest among the amounts reported for all the types of aid. This may be due to several factors. Regulations require institutions to spend time counseling a student about student loans and the importance of loan repayment. In addition, the application process for a loan is more involved than it is for a grant, and, thus, a student may be more likely to remember the amount awarded. Finally, in many instances, the loan amounts are awarded in round dollar amounts, making it simpler for students to remember the amount of their loan.

Bias in Student Reporting

Table 3.2 shows the level of bias in student reporting for both the amounts of family financial items and the amount of financial aid awarded. An asterisk indicates that the difference in the average amounts reported between students and institutions is statistically significant. For significant differences, a negative value reflects underreporting of the amount on the part of the students, and a positive value indicates overreporting.

Among the family financial items, students tended to slightly overestimate the amount of federal income tax paid compared to the institution's record. For student financial aid items, however, students consistently underreported the amount of aid received. The differences ranged from almost \$600 for grant aid, a 22 percent difference, to more than \$1,400 for work aid, a 76 percent difference. Among the various types of aid awarded, students were much more accurate in reporting the amounts of loan aid they received than they were other types of aid.

Table 3.2—Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of family financial items and student financial aid requested in NPSAS:87

Variable	Mean difference	Percentage	_
Family financial items:			
Parent's income	\$ 1	0	
Student income	118	1	
Federal tax paid	67*	7	
Student aid items:			
Grant aid	\$ -597 *	22	
Work aid	-1,407*	76	
Loan aid	-633*	16	
Federal aid	-1,355*	32	

^{*}Significant at the 5% level.



Analysis by Subgroups

In order to examine the consistency of responses between students and institutions for various subgroups, the correlations for the items within each of the four groups of comparisons—demographic, family financial items, receipt of financial aid (y/n), and financial aid amount—were averaged. These average correlations are presented for each subgroup. In addition, the student bias for reporting financial aid-related information is also presented for each subgroup.

Institution-related items.

Table 3.3a shows the correlation for the four groups of variables by institutional type and control. Overall, the demographic variables showed little variation across institutional types. The lowest correlation was .83 for students in private for-profit less-than-4-year institutions, and the highest was .87 for public less than 4-year students.

While not as highly correlated as the demographic variables, the family financial data were also relatively consistent across institutional types, with the possible exception of public less-than-4-year students. The correlation for these students was .58.

Unlike demographic and family financial data, the correlations for receipt of student financial aid, on the other hand, varied across types of institutions, ranging from .08 for public 4-year students to .19 for public less-than-4-year students. Students attending different types of institutions also varied in their accuracy in reporting the amount of aid received. The lowest correlation (.16) was found for students at less-than-4-year private for-profit institutions, while the correlations across the other types of institutions ranged from .23 for students at public less-than-4-year institutions to .35 for students at private 4-year institutions.

The student bias for reporting the amount of financial aid received also tended to vary across institutional types, in particular, between students attending either public 4-year institutions or public less-than-4-year institutions (table 3.3b). Public less-than-4-year students underreported the amount of their grant aid by about 15 percent, compared with 31 percent underreporting for public 4-year students. The reverse was true, however, for the reporting of loan aid, where 4-year students underreported the amount by about 21 percent, compared with 35 percent for public less-than-4-year students.

Table 3.3a—Average correlation between student and institution responses for various groups of NPSAS:87 questionnaire items, by institutional type and control

Institution type	Demographic	Family financial	Student aid (receipt)	Student aid (amount)
Public 4-year	.86	,66	.08	.34
Public less-than-4-year	.87	.58	.19	.23
Private 4-year	.86	.63	.13	.35
Private less-than-4-year	.85	.71	.12	.28
Private for-profit-4-year	.84	.73	.10	.30
Private for-profit It-4-yea	r .83	.63	.11	.16



Table 3.3b—Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of student financial aid requested in NPSAS:87, by institutional type and control

	Grant aid		Wor	Work aid		n aid	Fede	Federal aid	
Institution Type	Mean diff.	Percent	Mean diff.	Percent	Mean diff.	Percen	Mean diff.	Percent	
Public 4-year	\$-604*	31	\$-1,701	83	\$ -675 *	21	\$ -1,321*	36	
Public less-than-4-year	-198*	15	-1,257*	75	-706*	35	-514*	24	
Private 4-year	- 738*	19	-1,229*	73	-899*	20	-2,084*	42	
Private less-than-4-year	-278*	11	-646*	76	-490*	21	-694*	24	
Private for-profit-4-year	-925	43	~		-135	5	-410	11	
Private for-profit lt-4-year	-471*	23	-3,948*	-95	-84	3	-381*	11	

⁻ Sample too small for reliable estimate.

Attendance and dependency status.

Table 3.4a shows the correlations for the four groups of variables by attendance status and dependency status. Whether or not a student attended full time or part time had little relationship with the consistency of their responses in relation to the institution's response. The same was true for dependency status, with the exception of the correlation for family financial items, in which dependent students had a correlation of only .33 compared with .70 for independent students. The relatively low correlation for dependent students was primarily due to their inaccurate reporting of parents' income. Independent students, on the other hand, reported their own income.

Full- and part-time students did tend to differ with respect to their bias in reporting the amount of financial aid they received (table 3.4b). For example, part-time students showed no bias in reporting grant aid, whereas full-time students underestimated the amount they received by about 23 percent or \$654. Part-time students, however, did underreport their federal aid, but only by about 21 percent, compared with about 35 percent for full-time students.



^{*}Significant at the 5% level.

Table 3.4a—Average correlation between student and institution responses for various groups of NPSAS:87 questionnaire items, by attendance and dependency status

	Demographic	Family financial	Student aid (receipt)	Student aid (amount)
Attendance status				
Full time	.85	.63	.13	.23
Part time	.86	.67	.12	.29
Dependency status				
Dependent	.86	.33	.13	.37
Independent	.82	.70	.16	.40

Table 3.4b—Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of student financial aid requested in NPSAS:87, by attendance and dependency status

	Grant aid		Work aid		Loan aid		Federal aid	
	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct
Attendance status		<u></u>						
Full time	\$ -654*	23	\$ -1,356 *	71	\$- 649*	4	\$-1,422*	35
Part time	-3	0	-2,577*	91	-448*	3	-626*	21
Dependency status								
Dependent	-549*	33	-1,111*	68	-501*	24	-1,125*	41
Independent	-691*	51	-2,348*	90	-854*	39	-1,720*	54

^{*}Significant at the 5% level.

In general, the bias in reporting the amount of aid they received was greater for independent students than for dependent students. For example, independent students underreported the grant aid they received by about 51 percent compared with 33 percent for dependent students. In part, this result may be due to the fact that independent students received on average relatively larger amounts of aid.

Demographic characteristics.

Table 3.5a shows the correlations between students and institutions for selected demographic characteristics. Unlike previous studies that showed females were somewhat more accurate reporters of items in education surveys than males, no such differences were found for the items examined in the NPSAS survey. Males and females exhibited about the same level of consistency regardless of the item. The same was true for race-ethnicity, with the possible exception of family financial items. For these items, white students had the lowest correlation (.57), while Asian students had the highest (.70).



Table 3.5a—Average correlation between student and institution responses for various groups of NPSAS:87 questionnaire items, by selected student characteristics

	Demographics*	Family financial items	Student aid (receipt)	Student aid (amount)
Total				
Gender				
Male	.86	.61	.14	.39
Female	.87	.55	.14	.37
Race-ethnicity				
Native American	.83	.63	.16	.38
Asian	.82	.70	.13	.43
Black	.81	.61	.15	.36
Hispanic	.84	.68	.16	.39
White	.84	.57	.14	.39
Parents' income (dep.)				
Lt \$12,000	.80	_	.14	.35
\$12,000-24,000	.79	_	.12	.37
\$24,000-30,000	.81	-	.11	.38
\$30,000-50,000	.78	_	.10	.35
\$50,000-75,000	.84	_	.12	.33
\$75,000-100,000	.88	_	.11	.36
\$100,000 plus	.91	_	.16	.37
Students' income (ind.)		•		
Lt \$5,000	.80	~	.13	.38
\$5,000-10,000	.81		.12	.42
\$10,000-15,000	.82		.10	.42
\$15,000-20,000	.81	-	.11	.47
\$20,000 plus	.83		.09	.38

⁻ Correlation not reported because row variable was one of only 3 items for this group.

No obvious differences in the consistency of reporting demographic information were found for students at various income levels. While students in the highest income levels had the highest correlations (.91 for dependent students' parents' income of \$100,000 or more and .83 for independent students' income of \$20,000 or more), the level of consistency was quite high across all levels of income. There were also no apparent differences for the other two groups of items—student aid receipt and student aid amount—in relation to income level.

The level of bias students exhibited in reporting amounts of financial aid received is shown in table 3.5b according to demographic subgroups. As was true for the correlations, no gender differences in the level of reporting bias were found. Some variations in bias, however, were observed for race-ethnicity and for income level. For example, Asian students showed no bias in reporting the amount of loan aid received, and a relatively low level of bias for reporting grant aid (23 percent underreporting). White students also had a relatively low level of bias in reporting grant aid (17 percent underreporting) compared with other racial-ethnic groups (bias ranging from 23 percent to 56 percent underreporting).



^{*} Average correlation of demographic items other than row variable.

There was no general pattern to the variation of bias observed for students at various income levels, although students in the lowest income levels tended to have the greatest bias in reporting amounts of financial aid received. These students, however, are also more likely to receive higher awards than higher income students and, thus, the possibility of biased reporting is higher for low-income students.

Table 3.5b—Bias between student responses and institution data for amounts of student financial aid received in NPSAS:87, by selected student characteristics

	Gran	t aid	Work	aid	Loa	n aid	Federa	al aid
	Mean diff.	Percent	Mean diff.	Percent	Mean diff.	Percent	Mean diff.	Percent
Gender			-	- •				
Male	\$-656*	22	\$ -1,611*	79	-660*	16	\$ -1.565*	36
Female	-554*	21	-1,233*	75	-610*	19	-1,186*	37
Race-ethnicity								
Native American	-1,875*	5 6	-1,230	75	-588	18	-980*	26
Asian	-834*	23	-2,059*	79	-137	4	-1,511*	35
Black	-921*	33	-1,069*	75	-501*	18	-1,212*	32
Ніѕрапіс	~1,155*	4 0	-1,624*	92	-505*	15	-1,366*	35
White	-454*	17	-1,403*	77	-686*	19	-1,378*	34
Parents income								
(dependent students)	ı							
Lt \$12,000	~1,338*	42	-953*	74	-306*	11	-1,464*	38
\$12,000-24,000	-812*	27	-966*	74	-549*	19	-1,231*	34
\$24,000-30,000	-686*	24	-963*	75	668*	21	-1,175*	36
\$30,000-50,000	-54	2	-1,003*	74	-625*	19	-791*	23
\$50,000-75,000	500*	19	-828*	61	-194	5	~830*	22
\$75,000-100,000	1,037	34		_	-1,228*	22	-1,494*	28
\$100,000 plus	_	_			658	18	-1,600*	34
Student's income								
(independent student	ts)							
Lt \$5,000	-890*	35	-1,796*	84	-1,177*	28	-2,418*	49
\$5,000-10,000	-389*	17	-1,618*	72	-902*	22	-1,678*	38
\$10,000-15,000	-446*		-3,083*	98	-755*	19	-1,120*	28
\$15,000-20,000	-309*		-3,191*	91	1,273*	28	-1,630*	37
\$20,000 plus	-296	18	-4,306*	90	-788*	17	-1,575 *	35

⁻ Sample too small for reliable estimate.



^{*}Significant at the 5% level.

Parent-Institution Comparisons

This section examines the consistency between dependent students' parents and institution responses to items related to parents' income, federal taxes paid, tuition and fees, number of dependents, and number of dependents in college. Due to the verification required to document the financial need of students applying for financial aid, the institution records were assumed to be more accurate than the parent responses for these comparisons.

The correlations between parent and institution responses for number of dependents, adjusted gross income, and federal taxes paid were relatively high, ranging from .76 for federal taxes paid to .83 for adjusted gross income (table 3.6). The somewhat lower correlation for the number of dependents in college (.65) could possibly be due to the different ways in which the question was asked of the institution and the parents. Parents were asked about their dependents in two parts. Part one asked how many people will

you and your spouse support between September 1986 and May 1987? Include yourself, your spouse, other children, and any others receiving more than half their support during that period. Do not include your child who is in our survey.

Part two then asked:

Of these, how many have been enrolled in postsecondary school....Please include your spouse, if applicable, but do not include yourself.¹⁸

Thus, the first part of the question excludes the student in the survey. The second part should also exclude the participating student, but the responding parents are not reminded of this and, in addition, the second question also excludes the responding parent if he or she is enrolled in postsecondary education. The institution, on the other hand, was asked for the "total number in household in college." By subtracting 1 from this number, it should correspond to the parents' response unless the responding parent was also enrolled in postsecondary education. Thus, the complexity of the question asked of the parent may have contributed to the lower correspondence between parent and institution responses.

Table 3.6—Correlation and the level of bias between parent and institution responses for various NPSAS:87 items

Variable	Correlation	Bias (mean difference in amount)	Percent		
Number of dependents	.79	0	1		
Number in college	.65	0	26		
Adjusted gross income	.83	\$255	1		
Tuition and fees	.65	1,604*	63		
Federal taxes paid	.76	365*	10		

^{*}Significant at the 5% level.



¹⁸ NPSAS:87 Methodology Report, Appendix A, Parent Questionnaire, 11.

It was less surprising to see the lower correlation between parents and institution for the amount of tuition and fees. Unless they directly paid the tuition and fees or had the documentation in hand, one would be less inclined to think that the responding parent could recall the exact amount of tuition and fees paid to the school for the 1986-87 school year. The same is true, to a lesser extent, for the amount of federal income taxes paid.

The only systematic biases observed for parent responses when compared to the institution data were for tuition and fees and the amount of federal taxes paid. In general, parents considerably overestimated (by about 63 percent) the amount of tuition and fees paid to the institution. Parents' bias in overreporting their federal taxes, though statistically significant, was much lower (about 10 percent) than for tuition and fees.

Analysis by Subgroup

Correlations between parents and institutions for number of dependents, adjusted gross income, federal income taxes, and tuition and fees are presented in table 3.7 for selected subgroups. When examining these differences, however, it should be remembered that the parent survey was plagued by substantial item non-response. This was especially true for adjusted gross income (35 percent missing) and federal income tax paid (40 percent missing). Thus, when these responses are broken down into subgroups, the correlations often represent a relatively small number of respondents.¹⁹



While the tota! Ns for each item are listed in table 2.1, the individual cell sizes for the categories in table 3.7 can be found in ajpendix B. It is not uncommon for some cell sizes, especially for adjusted gross income and federal taxes paid, to be under 100. However, if the cell size dropped below 30, the correlation is not shown.

Table 3.7—Correlation between parent and institution responses for family financial items and tuition and fees paid, by selected characteristics

	Number of dependents	Adjusted gross income	Federal taxes	Tuition and fees
Institution type and control				
Public 4-year	.81	.86	.80	.42
Public less-than-4-year	.84	.74	.45	.16
Private 4-year	.84	.80	.74	.46
Private less-than-4-year	.72	.79	.83	.48
Private for-profit 4-year		_	_	_
Private for-profit less-than-4-year	.70	.81	.77	.31
Attendance status				
Full-time	.80	.83	.76	.64
Part-time	.73	.90	.80	.46
Student's race-ethnicity				
Native American	•—	_	_	.77
Asian	.82	.96	.91	.72
Black	.60	.91	.87	.41
Hispanic	.75	.45	.87	.65
White	.86	.84	.75	.65
Parents' adjusted gross income				
Less than \$12,000	.68	-	.11	.46
\$12,000-24,000	.85	-	.19	.55
\$24,000-30,000	.85	-	.31	.57
\$30,000-50,000	.86	-	.54	.52
\$50,000-75,000	.86	-	.5 6	.54
\$75,000-100,000		-	_	_
\$100,000 and over	_		_	
Parents' highest education				
Less than high school	.69	.59	.80	.5 6
High school only	.84	.81	.82	.57
Vocational school	.75	.91	.67	.61
2-year degree	.80	.89	.88	.59
4-year degree	.80	.79	.74	.69
Advanced degree	.89	.78	.63	.67
Method of data collection				
Mail	.80	.88	.75	.68
Telephone	.78	.69	.79	.61



<sup>Item included in correlation.
Sample too small for reliable estimate.</sup>

In general, the correlations between parent and institution responses tended to be lower for low-income parents, especially those with incomes under \$12,000. No other obvious patterns emerged, though some singular differences were notable. For example, parents of black students tended to be less accurate than other parents in reporting number of dependents (.60) and tuition and fees (.41), while parents of Hispanic students appeared to be less accurate reporters of adjusted gross income (.45). On the other hand, parents of white students tended to be less accurate in reporting federal income taxes paid than parents of minority students (.75 compared with .87 or higher).

With regard to the amount of tuition and fees paid, the correlation between parents of students in public less-than-4-year institutions and the institution was especially low (.16). This is not surprising, however, since students in public less-than-4-year institutions often attend school part-time. Therefore, their tuition and fees would be related to the number of courses or units taken rather than a flat fee for full-time attendance. Related to this was the lower correlation for tuition and fees observed for parents of part-time students (.46) relative to parents of full-time students (.64).

Though the telephone survey was very successful in surveying the considerable number of parents who did not respond to the mailed survey, this method of data collection did not seem to be associated with more accurate responses to the questionnaire items examined in this analysis. With the possible exception of adjusted gross income, the correlations for each method of data collection were relatively close. In the case of income data, where the correlation for the parents who responded by mail was .88 compared with .69 for those who were interviewed by telephone, it is possible that parents who responded by mail took the time to refer to their tax forms to report their adjusted gross income, while the parents surveyed by phone may have relied more on their memory.

Bias.

It is clear from the bias estimates shown in table 3.8 that, regardless of subgroup attributes, parents consistently overestimated the amount of tuition and fees paid. It is probable that they included other expenses in the amount they reported. This would be especially be true for parents who did not directly pay tuition and fees to the institution, but provided a certain amount of money to the participating student, for tuition and fees as well as other expenses such as books, room and board, transportation, and so on.

There was no obvious systematic bias for the subgroups examined between parent and institution responses for adjusted gross income or for federal taxes paid. Overall, there was a slight overestimate of federal taxes paid (about 10 percent) for parents participating in either the mail or the telephone survey.



Table 3.8—Bias between parent responses and institution data for adjusted gross income, federal taxes paid, and tuition and fees

	Adjusted gross income		Federal taxes		Tuition and fees	
	Mean Difference	Per- cent	Mean Difference	Per- cent	Mean Difference	Per- cent
Institution type and control				4.0	61 002#	97
Public 4-year	\$ 837	3	\$309	10	\$1,093*	183
Public less-than-4-year	903	5	933	55	592*	67
Private 4-year	-172	1	380	9	2,864*	68
Private less-than-4-year	380	2	231	9	1,583*	00
Private for-profit 4-year		_	_	12	185	5
Private for-profit less-than-4-year	-503	3	219	12	163	3
Attendance status			a a a a a	10	1 COA#	59
Full-time	309	1	370*	10	1,684*	
Part-time	-799	3	172	7	1,029*	121
Student's race-ethnicity				~	0.74	55
Native American	-	_	-223	7	967*	33 72
Asian	72	0	733	28	2,000*	43
Black	-887	5	476	27	945*	
Hispanic	793	4	92	5	1,156*	47
White	420	1	361	9	1,652*	64
Parents' adjusted gross income						0.4
Less than \$12,000	-	-	153	75 -	616*	24
\$12,000-24,000	-	-	709*	67	1,295*	46
\$24,000-30,000	-	-	225	10	1,175*	38
\$30,000-50,000	_	-	81	2	1,549*	45
\$50,000-75,000	-	-	308	4	2,039*	48
\$75,000-100,000	-	-	-	_	_	_
\$100,000 and over	-	-	_		_	
Parent's highest education				_	500 +	
Less than high school	-1,472	12	43	3	790*	4
High school only	102	0	287	10	1,223*	6:
Vocational school	100	0	292	10	1,214*	6
2-year degree	1,113	4	186	4	1,428*	70
4-year degree	1,668	4	471	9	1,802*	7
Advanced degree	-375	1	9 17	15	2,399*	8
Method of data collection				4.5	4.000	_
Mail	478	2	364*	10	1,398*	
Telephone	-227	1	366*	10	.1,950*	7.



⁻ Item used in correlation.
- Sample too small for reliable estimate.

Parent-Student Comparisons

The third and final analysis compared the consistency of student and parent responses to questions concerning parents' highest level of education, family financial characteristics, education expenses, and parents' contributions toward education expenses. Two of the family financial items represent characteristics that determine whether or not a student is financially dependent. These items ask if the student was claimed as an exemption for tax purposes and how many weeks the student lived in the family home in 1986. For this analysis, it was assumed that parents would be more accurate than students in reporting parents' highest level of education and items concerning parents' contributions to the participating students' education. Students, on the other hand, were presumed to be more accurate reporters of their educational expenses such as tuition and fees and room and board.

The correlations between student and parent responses for parents' highest level of education were .63 for mother's education and .69 for father's education (table 3.9). These correlations are somewhat lower than one might expect for factual demographic characteristics. However, the question asked not only for the level of education (such as less than high school, high school, vocational training, college, and so on) but the number of years of postsecondary training for parents who had not earned a baccalaureate or advanced degree. While students' may easily know the general level of their parents' education, they may not know much detail about the length of training for parents who did not obtain a college degree.

Table 3.9—Correlation between student and parent responses to various NPSAS:87 questionnaire items

Variable	Correlation
Demographics .	
Mother's education	.63
Father's education	.69
Family financial items	
Parents' income (categorical)	.27
Number of weeks lived at home	.87
Claimed as an exemption	.36
School expenses	
Tuition and fees	.64
Room and board	.20
Ever apply for aid	.41
Parent financial contributions	
Amount parents lent to student for education	.17
Amount parents contributed (non-loan)	<i>.</i> 54



As was true for the correlation between student and institution responses regarding parents' income, the correlation between student and parent responses for the same item was low (.27). Similarly, students did not necessarily know whether or not their parents had claimed them as an exemption on their 1986 tax return (.36). This again reinforces the idea that students often do not know their parents' financial status. The only correlation that was high among the family financial items examined in this analysis was for the number of weeks students lived in the family home (.87).

While students and parents had moderate agreement for the amount of tuition and fees paid (.64), they had relatively poor agreement for the cost of room and board (.20). However, parents who did not pay the institution directly for tuition and fees and other expenses, but who provided the students with a certain amount of money to cover expenses, may not know exactly how that money was distributed. The relatively low correlation for whether or not the student had applied for financial aid (.41) is more surprising, the reasons for which are unclear. It would seem likely that parents would know whether or not their child had applied for financial aid, especially for parents of financially dependent students who would have to provide the institution with information regarding their financial status if the student applied for financial aid.²⁰

The pattern of parent and student responses regarding how much money parents either contributed or lent to the student is notable. Overall agreement was quite low for the amount of money parents lent the student (.17), while agreement for the non-loan amount contributed was higher (.54). It seems that students were more likely to underreport the amount of money their parents lent them by about 41 percent, and overreport the amount of money their parents contributed (not loans) to their education, by about 19 percent (table 3.10).

Table 3.10—Bias between student and parent responses for school expenses and parent loans and contributions to educational expenses

Variable	Mean difference	Percent	
Tuition and fees	\$ -1,427*	38	
Room and board	-771*	75	
Amount parents lent to student	-248*	41	
Amount parents contributed	930*	19	

^{*}Significant at the 5% level.

Analysis by Subgroup

Correlations between students and parents for specific subgroups concerning the financial items included in this analysis are shown in table 3.11. In general, the correlation between students and parents for those enrolled in public less-than-4-year institutions was lower than for students who attended other institutions. As discussed previously, however, a greater percentage of part-time students attend public less-than-4-year institutions, and, therefore, expenses would generally be more variable than expenses for full-time students. In contrast, there was somewhat greater agreement between students and parents among those attending private not-for-profit and public 4-year institutions as well as for students attending private for-profit less-than-4-year institutions. These institutions primarily have full-time students with a standard rate for tuition and fees.



²⁰ While it was true that the correlation between students and parents was lower for independent students (.33), the correlation between dependent students and their parents was only .49 (data shown in appendix C).

Table 3.11—Correlation between student and parent responses for education expenses, parent loans, and parent contributions, by selected subgroups

Subgroups	Tuition and fees	Room and board	Parent loans	Parent total contribution
Institution type and control		_		
Public 4-year	.46	.16	.19	.43
Public less-than-4-year	.27	.06	.17	.32
Private 4-year	.49	.17	.16	.49
Private less-than-4-year	.58	.26	.10	.47
Private for-profit 4-year	_			
Private for-profit lt-4-year	.32	.01	.24	.52
Attendance status				
Full-time	.63	.18	.17	.54
Part-time	.58	.17	.25	.44
Parent adj. gross income				
Less than \$12,000	.48	.12	.01	.57
\$12,000-24,000	.48	.13	.31	.38
\$24,000-30,000	.61	.15	.24	.49
\$30,000-50,000	.60	.17	.07	.56
\$50,000-75,000	.50	.09	.08	.56
\$75,000-100,000	_		_	
\$100,000 and over		_	_	_
Student income				
Less than \$5,000	.49	.29	.56	.25
\$5,000-10,000	.40	.14	. 5 6	.42
\$10,000-15,000	.76	02	.10	.38
\$15,000-20,000	.47	-08		_
\$20,000 and over	.42	.12		

⁻ Sample too small for reliable estimate.

Full-time students tended to have greater agreement with their parents regarding the amount of tuition and fees and the amount their parents contributed to their education expenses than did part-time students. Part-time students, on the other hand, tended to have greater agreement with their parents concerning the amount of money their parents lent them for education expenses.

Agreement between parents and students according to income level appeared highly variable. With regard to tuition and fees, however, agreement tended to be greater for middle-income groups (e.g., parents earning \$24,000 to \$50,000 and students earning \$10,000 to \$15,000) than they were for lower or higher income groups.

Bias.

Table 3.12 shows the amount of bias between students and parents among various subgroups as a percentage of the parent response for each variable. Regardless of subgroup, on average, students reported lower amounts than parents for tuition and fees, amount paid for room and board, and the amount of money parents lent to students. This was especially true for room and board, where students underreported parents from 48 percent to 86 percent. Bias for the non-loan amount of money parents contributed to students' education was in the opposite direction. However, students generally reported grea'er amounts contributed than did their parents.

Table 3.12—Bias between student and parent responses for education expenses, parent loans, and parent contributions as a percentage of parent response, by selected subgroups

Subgroups	Tuition and fees	Room and board	Parent loans	Total parent contribution
Institution type and control				
Public 4-year	-51*	<i>77</i> *	-39*	23
Public less-than-4-year	-57*	-48*	-68*	70
Private 4-year	-37 *	-76*	-39 *	12
Private less-than-4-year	-32*	-82*	-55*	25*
Private for-profit 4-year		_	_	
Private for-profit lt-4-year	-2	-56	-31*	28*
Attendance status				
Full-time	-37*	-76*	-42*	-19*
Part-time	-49*	-68*	-31*	-21*
Parent adjusted gross income	•			
Less than \$12,000	-22*	-58*	-52	8
\$12,000-24,000	-39 *	-83*	-28	35*
\$24,000-30,000	-25*	-70 *	-28	25*
\$30,000-50,000	-34*	-72*	45*	20*
\$50,000-75,000	-29*	-86*	-33	4
\$75,000-100,000	_		_	_
\$100,000 and over	-	_	_	_

Sample too small for reliable estimate.
 Difference between parent and student response significantly different at the .05 level.

Chapter 4

Comparison of NPSAS:87 and CPS Data

Even though NPSAS is the most comprehensive survey of postsecondary students and their finances, it is not the only survey concerning postsecondary education. Other large surveys do include information on postsecondary students, but often as a subset of a larger population of students. For example, High School and Beyond (HS&B) is a longitudinal survey of 1980 high school seniors and sophomores that includes their postsecondary experiences in follow-up surveys. Another postsecondary education survey is the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS). However, IPEDS is an institutional-based survey in which institutions report on revenues and expenditures and on enrollment of various types of students. In addition, there are surveys of the general population that contain information on students in postsecondary education. The most comprehensive of these surveys are the Current Population Survey (CPS) and the Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP), both conducted by the Bureau of the Census, which use stratified random samples of U.S. households and provide information on the demographics and economics of families.

In this analysis demographic characteristics of CPS participants were compared with those of the NPSAS sample. CPS is a survey of about 60,000 households that is currently conducted monthly in order to gather information on the demographic and economic characteristics of a representative sample of the U.S. population. Each CPS has a slightly different emphasis. Because the October survey focuses on education, the October 1985 CPS was selected for comparison with the NPSAS sample.²¹

Although there are certain similarities between the two surveys, they were conducted in entirely different ways. CPS used households as its primary unit of analysis and collected data on all residents in a given household. NPSAS, on the other hand is a representative sample of students who were enrolled in postsecondary education in the fall of 1986. Thus, in NPSAS, individual students were the unit of analysis, rather than students within a household. It is instructive, however, to compare the samples from these two surveys because both are used widely in postsecondary education research. Until NPSAS, CPS was one of the few surveys that could be used to examine the demographic characteristics of postsecondary education students.

For this analysis, comparisons were made between the NPSAS sample of students who were under age 35 and the CPS subsample of households with postsecondary students.²² The percentage distributions of the two samples were compared for student and family characteristics including sex, age, race, marital status, and family income. Although some education-related questions were asked in the CPS, they are not directly comparable to the items asked in the NPSAS survey and are not compared in this analysis.



²¹ The comparisons made in this chapter differ from those in previous chapters in that they use weighted data rather than unweighted data.

²² CPS data presents postsecondary data for college students 16 through 34 years old. Therefore, NPSAS students who were over 34 years old were removed from the comparison.

Given the differences in the way in which the CPS and NPSAS surveys were conducted, the distributions of demographic characteristics were remarkably similar (table 4.1). The only apparent differences were that NPSAS tended to have a slightly higher percentage of females, and the students were somewhat more likely to be married. For example, among male students, 19 percent of those in the NPSAS survey were married, compared with 14 percent from the CPS. Similarly, 22 percent of NPSAS female students were married, compared with 16 percent of CPS students. Among students under 35 years old, the age distribution of NPSAS and CPS students was virtually the same for the two surveys. Thus, this does not provide an explanation for the difference in the marital status distributions between the two samples.

Table 4.1—Percentage of students in the October 1985 CPS and NPSAS:87, by gender, age, gender by age, race, and marital status by gender

Gender CPS NPSAS		<u>Male</u> 49.5 47.4	<u>Female</u> 50.5 52.6	
Age CPS NPSAS	<u>Under 18</u> 1.9 0.7	18-24 69.8 69.9	25-29 17.1 17.7	30-34 11.1 11.7
Gender by age	<u>Under 18</u>	<u>18-24</u>	<u>25-29</u>	<u>30–34</u>
<i>Male</i> CPS	1.6	69.6	10.2	10.4
NPSAS	0.6	70.7	18.3 18.1	10.4 10.6
Female	0.0	70.7	10.1	10.0
CPS	2.2	70.0	15.9	11.8
NPSAS	0.7	69.3	17.4	12.7
Race		White	<u>Black</u>	
CPS		88.7	11.3	
NPSAS		89.9	10.1	
Marital status by g	<u>ender</u>	Single	<u>Married</u>	
CPS		85,6	14.4	
NPSAS		81.2	18.8	
<i>Female</i> CPS		04.0	16.0	
NPSAS		84.0 77.7	16.0	
MESAS		11.1	22.3	

NOTE: Percentages may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1985, Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 426; U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1986-87 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, Updated Record and Student Questionnaire Data File.



Table 4.2 shows the distribution of family income for the two samples. For this comparison, the CPS sample is composed of households with primary family members aged 18–24 who were attending college. The NPSAS sample is limited to students who were identified as financially dependent on their parents (the only students for which family income is reported). The distribution of family income between the two samples appeared to differ markedly, especially for the lowest and highest income ranges. For example, a greater percentage of NPSAS students were from families with annual incomes of less than \$10,000, while more than twice as many CPS students were from families whose annual income was \$50,000 or more. One possible explanation for this difference is the fact that the CPS sample included students who were living in their family household. Thus, students who were living away from home (for example, those living in campus housing) would not be included in the sample. Furthermore, CPS students' financial dependency status was not determined. NPSAS, on the other hand, is representative of students rather than households, regardless of their living arrangements.

Table 4.2—Percentage of students in the October 1985 CPS and NPSAS:87, by family income

Family income	Less than \$10,000	\$10,000- 19,999	\$20,000- 29,999	\$30,000- 39,999	\$40,000- 49,999	\$50,000 or more	
CPS	7.6	13.1	15.7	18.8	14.6	30.1	
NPSAS	12.7	22.0	21.6	18.6	12.3	12.8	

^{*} Income for CPS families with family members 18-24 years old attending college. Family income for NPSAS students who are financially dependent on their parents.

NOTE: Percentages may not add to 100 percent due to rounding.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, October 1 9 8 5, Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 426; U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, 1986-87 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study, Updated Record and Student Questionnaire Data File.



Chapter 5

Summary and Conclusions

This report examined the consistency of responses in the NPSAS:87 survey among the three groups of respondents—students, parents, and institutions. Three sets of comparisons were made: between students and institutions, between parents and institutions, and between students and parents. In addition to measuring the consistency of responses, the level of bias in reporting dollar amounts such as financial aid, education expenses, and family income was also examined. Except for the comparison between students and institutions for demographic items, comparisons involving institutions were limited to students who received financial aid.

While no one source of data was always considered the "standard" against which the other sources were compared, the institution was often presumed to be the most reliable source. This was because many of the items examined were related to financial aid, and information provided to the institution on financial aid applications must be verifiable. Furthermore, the institution record was updated to take into account changes in financial aid status that might have occurred over the year.

The results of the analysis varied according to the items being compared. As might be expected, the correlations between students and institutions for demographic items were relatively high, with a mean correlation of .88. However, the most compelling results were those showing very little agreement between students and institutions with regard to the receipt and amount of financial aid awarded. The mean correlation for items in which students were asked whether or not they received specific kinds of financial aid was only .14. The correlations between students and institutions for items concerning the actual amounts received were higher (.38); however, agreement was still relatively low. Students tended to have the strongest agreement with institutions for the amount of loan aid received (.52), and the weakest for the amount work aid received (.02).

In addition to the poor correspondence between students and institutions for financial aid items, students tended to systematically underreport the amounts of aid they received. Underestimates by the students relative to the institution records ranged from 16 percent for loan aid to 76 percent for work aid. This underreporting was observed regardless of the type of institution attended and student characteristics.

Students had moderate agreement with institutions regarding family financial items (mean correlation of .63). Among these items, the highest correlation was for independent student's income (.82), and the lowest was for parents' income (.33). The latter result is consistent with earlier studies showing students as relatively unreliable predictors of parents' income.

With respect to the comparisons involving parents, it should be remembered that the parents' survey had a relatively high nonresponse rate, both to the survey (42 percent) and for specific survey items among those who did respond. This was especially true for reporting adjusted gross income and the amount of federal income tax paid. However, among parents who did respond, their agreement with the institutions was quite high for their adjusted gross income (.83). Agreement was lowest for the amount of tuition and fees paid (.65), while agreement for



the parents' federal income taxes fell in between these two ranges (.76).²³ With respect to bias, parents consistently overestimated the amount of tuition and fees paid relative to what the institution reported.

In the final comparison, that between students and their parents, students had relatively good agreement with their parents regarding parents' highest education (.63 and .69, respectively, for mother's and father's highest education), although this agreement was not as high as one might expect for such a factual item. However, part of the question required students to know the number of years of postsecondary training for parents who had less than a bachelor's degree, a detail students would be less likely to know than the general level of their parents' education.

Students had moderate agreement with their parents with regard to the amount tuition and fees (.64) paid. However, as was true with the student-institution comparisons, there was poor correspondence between students and parents for family financial items such as parents' income (.27) and the amount of parental loans to students for education expenses (.17). Agreement between students and parents for the amount of non-loan contributions made by parents, however, was somewhat higher (.54). It is interesting to note the bias observed in student reporting relative to their parents. The amount of parental loans was consistently underreported by the students, while the amount of non-loan contributions was consistently overreported.

The results of this study indicate that NPSAS students were reliable reporters of general demographic information, but a poor source of information regarding the receipt and amount of financial aid awarded. Similarly, students had poor agreement with parents when reporting on family financial items. However, if the importance of the student survey in NPSAS is to obtain financial information on independent students who do not receive financial aid, among the few items only independent students were asked (for student income and number of dependents), agreement with the institution was relatively high. Similarly, if the importance of the parent survey is to obtain financial information on dependent students who do not receive financial aid, among the parents included in this analysis (parents of dependent students who received financial aid), the correspondence between parents and institutions was moderately high for family financial characteristics.



²³ The correlation between parents and institutions for the number of dependents in college was also .65; however, there was quite a difference in the way the question was posed to the parents and the institutions.

Appendix A

Methodology and Technical Notes

Source of Data

Estimates in all tables of this report are based on the 1986-87 National Postsecondary Student Aid Study (NPSAS). The 1986-87 survey was designed to collect financial aid and financial support information on a national sample of postsecondary students enrolled in the fall of 1986 at all academic levels and all types of institutions. Besides financial aid information, the data set also contains information on the students' socioeconomic and demographic characteristics and on their academic backgrounds, programs, and objectives. NPSAS includes three groups of postsecondary students: undergraduates, graduates, and first-professionals. Only the undergraduates were used for this analysis.

NPSAS used a three-stage sample design that involved clustering of units at the first stage and stratification of sampling units at each stage. The original sample for the 1986-87 NPSAS data collection consisted of 1,353 postsecondary institutions, clustered by geographical areas and stratified according to the highest program level (i.e., 4-year doctoral-granting, 4-year non-doctoral-granting, 2-year, or less-than-2-year) and institutional control (i.e., public, private not-for-profit, or private for-profit). The final institutional sample was reduced to 1,074 after some institutions were found to be ineligible and others refused to participate. The institutional response rate, weighted by the probability of selection and enrollment, was about 95 percent.

A stratified sample of approximately 60,000 students was then drawn from the October 1986 records of the institutions in the institutional sample. Students were stratified by level (undergraduate, graduate, or first-professional). Different sampling rates were used for each level. For each student in the sample, efforts were made to collect registration and financial aid records from the institution. All student record information collected in the fall term was updated during the spring of 1987. This information was supplemented by mail and telephone survey information collected directly from students during the spring of 1987. In total, the 1986-87 NPSAS record and student questionnaire file contains information on 43,176 students (34,544 undergraduates and 8,632 other). The overall response rate for students was 67 percent.

A Parent Questionnaire was mailed to the parents or guardians of a subsample of students chosen for participation in the 1986-87 NPSAS data collection. The purpose of this survey was to obtain detailed information on the family and economic characteristics of dependent students who did not receive financial aid. In keeping with this purpose, parents of financially independent students who were over 25 years of age were omitted from the sample. The overall response rate for this questionnaire was about 58 percent.

The majority of the analyses conducted in this report were based on unweighted data from the NPSAS survey. Only the NPSAS—CPS comparisons were based on weighted data. For a discussion of the weighting procedures used to weight the NPSAS survey, see the *Methodology Report for the National Postsecondary Student Aid Study*, 1987 (Contractor Report, prepared for the National Center for Education Statistics, NCES90-309, March, 1990).

For more information on the NPSAS survey, consult the National Postsecondary Student Aid Study Updated Record and Student Questionnaire (1987) Data File User's Manual (Longitudinal Studies Branch, Postsecondary Education Statistics Division, Washington, D.C.: National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, March 1989) and the



National Postsecondary Student Aid Study Parent Survey Supplement Data File, Data File User's Manual (Westat, Inc., Washington, D.C., a contractor report prepared for the National Center of Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, August, 1988).

Appendix B

Alternative Measures of Correspondence

Earlier sections of this report discussed the fact that the correlation coefficient is not necessarily a reliable indicator of the relationship between variables when marginal distributions are not normally distributed. Skewed distributions can produce significantly lower correlation coefficients than would be expected based on the actual number of corresponding responses, or matches. Table B.1 addresses this issue by presenting two alternative measures of correspondence. Presented in the table are the percentage of matches and the relative bias, in addition to the Cramer's V, for all demographic categorical variables employed in this quality of response analysis report. In addition, the mean response values are displayed. The mean difference or bias value is calculated from the difference between these two values.

Theoretically, there need not be any association between the percent of matches and the correlation coefficient given the influence of distributions of each variable. Therefore, it is quite possible for the majority of observation pairs to have similar respc..ses (high match rate) but a very low correlation. The magnitude of the relative bias may also be independent of the size of the correlation coefficient since it is possible to have a high correlation and either a high or low relative bias. We would expect, however, to find a negative relationship between the match rate and relative bias given that a high proportion of matches should produce a smaller difference between the two means. This association may not be evident, however, when large differences between the match rate and correlation coefficient indicate a highly skewed distribution. In this case, the high match rate and highly skewed distribution would tend to inflate the magnitude of the relative bias in proportion to the difference between the match rate and correlation coefficient.

Table B.1 shows the percentage of identical student-institution responses for all categorical demographic variables in the quality of responses analysis. Demographic variables indexing student age and year of high school degree are not included since these variables are not categorical. It is important to recognize here that the variables listed, in addition to being categorical, are also only measured at the nominal level and therefore the reader should be very careful when attempting to interpret the index of relative bias presented in the table. Measures of central tendency, and statistics based upon them, cannot be meaningfully interpreted when the data do not achieve at least an ordinal or interval level of measurement. The mean value for race-ethnicity, for example, provides no useful information about the average ethnic makeup of the NPSAS sample. Corresponding bias indices based on the means are consequently meaningless as well.



Table B.1—Comparison of measures of correspondence for all categorical demographic variables.

Variable	Percent Match	Cramer's V
Gender	98.6	.97
Race—ethnicity	94.6	.75
Marital status	96.9	.81
High school degree	94.6	.81
Residence status	89.7	.84
Dependency status	95.3	.90

Given the factual nature of the demographic items such as gender or race-ethnicity it is not surprising that the match rates appear to correspond to the Cramer's V statistic quite well. For demographic items there is no obvious reason to expect a systematic reporting bias to influence the marginal distributions for these variables which could increase the disparity between the percentage of matches and Pearson r or Cramer's V statistic. It can be seen that the percentage of identical responses for each variable is consistently somewhat higher than is indicated by the associated Cramer's V statistic. Thus it appears that the measure of association employed in this analysis may slightly underestimate the correspondence between student and institution responses to the class of demographic items that are both categorical and nominal.

The difference between the match rate and Cramer's V statistic is particularly pronounced for the student race-ethnicity item. Further examination of the frequency marginals and the student-institution crosstabulation for this item shows a clear pattern of misclassification of Hispanic responses. For example, about 3 percent of students who classified themselves as Hispanic where classified as white (non Hispanic) by their institution. In this case, then, it seems as if the confusion surrounding one level of the variable class functioned to depress the Cramer's V statistic by skewing the distribution even though over 95 percent of all student-institution responses were in complete agreement.

The financial variables present a similar situation to that of the demographic variables. Table B.2 shows the alternative measures for the financial variables. The disparity between the correlation and match rate is particularly evident in the binary student-institution comparison variables—grants, work, loans, and taxes. Looking at the correlation coefficient alone belies the fact that well over half of student responses were identical to the institutional response for the given variables. Reports of work-study aid received (yes or no) show a correlation of only .14 even though over three-fourths of the students were in complete agreement with the institution response. The large disparity between the match rates and corresponding correlation coefficients for these variables may also account for the relatively large bias figures associated with these variables.

Similar patterns are found in the parent-student comparisons, although the pattern is less pronounced. Student responses to the parent contribution variable, for example, correlated only .56 with their parent's response to this same variable, even though over 80 percent of the responses were identical.



This analysis shows that there is no single measure of correspondence that fully captures the relationship between two variables. Many measures are consistent with one another, but each one may give a slightly different measure of the relationship.

Table B.2-Comparisons of measures of correspondence for financial variables

	Percent of Matches	Correla- tion	Relative Bias	Student Mean Value	Parent Mean Value	Inst. Mean Value
Student-Institution	_					_
Comparisons						
Grants	53.6	.13	36	.39	_	.61
Work	78.3	.14	52	.09		.19
Loans	56.9	.17	30	.38	_	.55
Federal Tax	54.4	.13	33	.44		.65
Parent Income	41.9	.33	.38	3.96	_	2.87
Parent-Institution						
Comparisons						
AGI	6.0	.00	1.95		3.92	1.33
	0.0	•••	1.75		3.72	1,55
Parent-Student						
Comparisons						
Apply for Aid	73.0	.41	-,18	1.25	1.53	
Parents Contribute	80.2	.56	32	.45	.67	_
Claim Exemption	58.2	.36	.04	5.92	5.67	_
Mother's Education	46.3	.63	02	5.76	5.88	_
Father's Education	48.4	.69	15	5.85	6.90	

-Not applicable.



Appendix C Statistical Tables



List of Variables in Appendix C

Student—Institutional Comparisons

Age Age of student in years
Gender Gender of the student
Race/ethnicity Race of the student

Marital status Marital status of the student

High school degree Type of high school degree obtained by the student Year of high school degree Year in which high school degree was obtained

Student residence Local residence of student while in school (on campus,

off campus, with parents)

Dependency status Dependency status of the student

Grant aid received Receipt of grant aid (y/n) Grant aid amount Amount of grant aid received Work aid received Receipt of work aid (y/n) Amount of work aid received Work aid amount Loan aid received Receipt of loan aid (v/n) Loan aid amount Amount of loan aid received Federal aid received Receipt of federal aid (y/n) Amount of federal aid received Federal aid amount

Parent's income Amount of dependent student's parents' income in 1985

Student's income Amount of independent student's total income in 1985
Federal taxes Amount of federal taxes paid by independent students in

1985

Tuition Cost of tuition and fees



Parent—Institutional Comparisons

Number of dependents

Number in college

Number of dependents other than student in survey Number of dependents in college other than the student

in survey

Adjusted gross income

Tuition

Parent's federal tax

Amount of adjusted gross income in 1985

Cost of tuition and fees of the student

Amount parents paid in federal taxes in 1985

Parent-Student Comparisons

Tuition

Room and board

Ever apply for aid

Parent loans to student

Parent's contribution

Amt of parent's

contribution

Mother's education

Father's education

Number of weeks at home

Claim as exemption

Parent's income

(categorical)

Cost of trition and fees of the student

Cost room and board

Student or parents apply for financial aid (y/n)

Amount loaned by parents to student

Parent contribute to student's educational costs (y/n)

Amount of parents' contribution toward educational costs

Level of educational attainment by student's

mother/guardian

Level of educational attainment by student's

father/guardian

Number of weeks student was at home in 1985

Parents claim student as an exemption in 1985 (y/n)

Category of parents' total income in 1985

Less than \$11,000

\$11,000 - 16,999

\$17,000 - 22,999

\$23,000 - 29,999

\$30,000 - 49,999

\$50,000 or more



AGE GENDER Consistency Mean difference Mean difference Consist_cy N coefficient (bias) std. err. coefficient (bias) std.err. 41,776 0.97 43,037 (-0.00)**ALL STUDENTS** 0.07 0.028 0.97 0.000 INSTITUTION TYPE 0.98 0.000 15.837 0.06 0.028 16,166 0.97 0.00 Public 4-year Public LT 4-year 4.712 0.97 (-0.00) 0.024 0.000 0.12 4,808 0.96 16,291 Private 4-year 15,579 0.97 0.05 0.164 0.98 (-0.00)0.028 Private LT 4-year 1.809 0.97 0.06 0.067 1,834 0.96 (-0.00)0.004 0.99 0.000 Proprietary 4-year 120 -0.12 0.058 122 0.83 0.04 Proprietary LT 4-year 0.97 0.004 3,719 0.13 0.058 3.816 0.97 0.00 ATTENDANCE STATUS 30,843 0.97 0.06 0.024 31,467 0.00 0.000 Full-time 0.97 Part-time 10,916 0.97 0.11 0.056 11,553 0.97 (-0.00)0.000 DEPENDENCY STATUS 26,405 0.97 0.033 27,038 (-0.00)0.000 0.09 0.97 Dependent student Independent student 15,371 0.97 0.05 0.038 15,999 0.97 0.00 0.000 GENDER 19,417 0.97 0.07 0.038 Male Female 22,359 0.98 0.07 0.032 RACE/ETHNICITY 0.96 0.010 American Indian 0.16 0.209 289 0.96 285 0.02 2,040 0.96 2,137 Asian 0.13 0.13 0.94 0.00 0.007 3,784 Black 3,700 0.97 0.16 0.074 0.96 0.00 0.004 2.275 0.96 0.084 2.354 Hispanic -0.01 0.00 0.004 0.97 White 33,476 0.98 0.06 0.03 34,473 0.97 (-0.00)0.000 PARENTS' INCOME 2,103 0.97 Lt \$12,000 2,086 0.08 0.053 098 0.00 0.003 \$12,000 to 24,000 2,902 0.97 -0.02 0.056 2,922 0.98 0.00 0.000 \$24,000 to 30,000 0.98 0.054 (-0.00)1,346 0.03 1,358 0.97 0.003 \$30,000 to 50,000 3.561 0.96 0.07 0.035 3,585 0.98 (-0.00)0.000 \$50,000 to 75,000 1,397 0.96 (-0.00)0.065 1,414 0.97 0.004 0.00 \$75,000 to 100,000 206 0.98 0.28 0.3 211 0.99 (-0.00)0.010 \$100,000 plus 1.00 0.032 0.000 92 -0.05 1.00 94 STUDENTS' INCOME LT\$5,000 1,552 0.97 0.15 0.116 1,571 0.97 (-0.00)0.000 \$5,000 to 10,000 1,294 0.98 0 0.165 1,310 0.98 0.00 0.000 \$10,000 to 15,000 (-0.00)802 0.98 0.15 0.102 0.009 811 0.98 \$15,000 to 20,000 595 0.97 0.12 0.142 0.98 0.00 0.000 600 \$20,000 plus 1,056 0.97 0.103 1,070 0.97 0.000 0.13 -0.01 PARENTS' EDUCATION LT high school 0.99 0.09 0.026 854 867 0.96 0.00 0.006 High school only 3,314 0.98 0.01 0.046 3,362 0.97 (-0.00)0.000 944 0.97 0.053 Vocational school (-0.00)958 0.99 0.00 0.004 2-year degree 1,092 0.98 0.078 1,105 0.98 (-0.00)0.06 0.005 2,166 4-year degree 0.98 -0.03 0.061 2,202 0.97 0.00 0.004 2,009 (-0.00) 1.984 0.97 0.05 0.065 Advanced degree 0.98 0.004



5 C-

(continued)

AGE GENDER

		AGE				GENDER				
			Consistency	Mean different	æ		Consistency	Mean differe	nce	
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.	
AGE/ACADE	MIC I EVEL									
First year	LT 18	4,501	0.96	0.19	0.072	4,566	0.96	0.00	0.003	
I II A year	19-23	3,836	0.95	0.12	0.097	3,899	0.97	(-0.00)		
	24-29	1,337	0.96	(-0.00)	0.085	1,382	0.97	0.00		
	30 plus	1,741	0.96	-0.13	0.097	1,806	0.95	-0.01		
Second yea	r I.T 18	156	0.72	1,14	0.614	157	0.97	0.00	0.025	
0000.12 ,02	19-23	5,698	0.98	0.07	0.03	5,799		(-0.00)		
	24-29	949	0.93	0.02	0.131	915		(-0.00)	1	
	30 plus	1,146	0.96	-0.14	0.113	1,187		0.00		
Third year	LT 18	20	0.56	3.75		21	1.00			
	19-23	4,304	0.96	0.16	0.073	4,369		0.00	C.000	
	24-29	877	0.93	0.78	0.201	911		0.00	0.010	
	30 plus	948	0.96	-0.18	0.121	1,006	0.96	(-0.00)	0.007	
Fourth year	r LT 18	35	0.97	0.80	0.654	35	1.00		0.000	
	19-23	3,546	0.97	0.16	0.04	3,606	0.97	0.00	0.000	
	24-29	889	0.97	0.05	0.142	907	0.98	0.01	0.003	
	30 plus	783	0.97	-0.26	0.101	828	0.98	0.01	0.004	
Fifth year	LT 18	8	0.80	2.38		8	1.00			
ł '	19-23	695	0.97	-0.02	0.076	705	0.99	0.00	6.000	
	24-29	420	0.98	-0.01	0.096	437	0.99	(-0.00)	0.009	
	30 plus	267	0.97	-0.38	0.233	279	0.96	0.00	0.013	
Graduate	LT 18	11	0.98	0.90		11	1.00			
	19-23	805	0.97	0.16	0.092	846	0.97	(-0.00)	0.004	
i	24-29	1,114	0.98	0.00	0.17	1,167	0.97	(-0.00)	0.007	
	30 plus	927	0.98	-0.13	0.106	1,001	0.98	0.00	0.004	
STUDENT Q	UESTIONNAIRE									
Mail	.	22,699	0.98	0.07	0.029	23,486	0.98	0.00	0.00	
Telephone		19,077	0.97	0.07	0.043	19,551	0.97	(-0.00	0.000	



RACE/ETHNICITY MARITAL STATUS Mean difference Consistency Mean difference Consistency std. err coefficient (bias) std. err N coefficient (biss) N 42,793 0.75 43,176 0.81 0.01 o ALL STUDENTS -0.03 0 INSTITUTION TYPE 0.01 0.006 Public 4-year 16,102 0.75 -0.01 0.004 16,217 0.81 4,828 0.01 Public LT 4-year 4,781 0.75 -0.03 0.003 0.90 0.01 16,334 0.02 Private 4-year 16,199 0.74 -0.03 0.043 0.82 0.004 1,838 0.03 Private LT 4-year 1,823 0.74 -0.02 0.01 0.76 0.007 Proprietary 4-year 119 0.80 -0.02 0.008 122 0.95 0.02 0.013 3,837 0.04 Proprietary LT 4-year 3,769 0.78 -0.10 0.011 0.72 ATTENDANCE STATUS 0.01 0.004 Full-time 31,294 0.76 -0.06 0.005 31,561 0.75 Part-time 11,482 0.74 -0.03 0.005 11,598 0.90 0.02 DEPENDENCY STATUS 0.01 0.003 Dependent student 26,894 0.75 -0.02 0.003 27,106 0.75 0.003 15,899 0.75 -0.03 0.006 16,070 0.81 0.03 Independent student GENDER 19.895 0.74 -0.02 0.005 20,059 0.78 -0.01 0.004 Male 22,898 0.76 -0.03 0.006 23,117 0.82 -0.01 Female RACE/ETHNICITY 291 0.76 0.00 0.018 0.05 0.163 American Indian 0.029 2,143 0.01 0.004 0.19 0.82 Asian 0.02 0.012 3,804 0.80 0.03 0.008 Rlack 0.38 2,361 0.79 0.02 0.007 0.021 Hispanic 34,577 0.01 0.003 0.01 0.81 White 0 PARENTS' INCOME 0.01 0.012 2,087 0.75 -0.07 0.013 2,113 0.46 Lt \$12,000 0.78 -0.04 2,929 0.36 0.01 0.015 \$12,000 to 24,000 2,897 0.009 0.81 -0.03 1,359 0.54 0.01 0.013 \$24,000 to 30,000 1,347 0.01 3,593 0.25 0.01 0.011 0.75 -0.02 0.009 \$30,000 to 50,000 3,573 0.01 0.005 1,416 0.41 \$50,000 to 75,000 1.409 0.67 -0.01 0.012 -0.01 0.019 211 0.59 0.87 \$75,000 to 100,000 211 -0.04 0.018 0.03 0.81 0.011 -0.04 0.012 94 \$100,000 plus 92 0.82 STUDENTS' INCOME 0.016 1,561 0.77 -0.04 0.015 1,575 0.65 0.03 LT\$5,000 0.03 0.014 1,318 \$5,000 to 10,000 1,298 0.77 -0.04 0.025 0.71 0.02 0.026 813 0.68 \$10,000 to 15,000 806 0.80 -0.020.038 0.03 601 0.70 0.017 595 0.76 -0.040.024 \$15,000 to 20,000 0.03 0.015 1.064 0.80 -0.030.011 1,075 0.65 \$20,000 plus



PARENTS' EDUCATION

LT high school

2-year degree

4-year degree

High school only

Vocational school

Advanced degree

857

956

3,356

1,104

2,197

2.000

0.77

C.75

0.75

0.73

0.76

0.70

-0.09

-0.01

-0.01

-0.01

-0.02

-0.01

0.024

0.01

0.018

0.021

0.015

0.013

869

962

1,108

2,204

2,012

3,374

0.71

0.80

0.78

0.70

0.64

0.71

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.01

0.009

6.005

0.007

0.004

0.006

0.003

(continued)

RACE/ETHNICITY

MARTIAL STATUS

			KACE/EIE			MAKITAL STATUS			
l		ļ	Consistency	Mean differenc	e	İ	Consistency	Mean differe	ace
 		N	coefficient	(bias)	sta.err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
AGE/ACADE	MIC LEVEL								
First year	LT 18	4,547	0.79	-0.02	0.007	4,577	0.49	0.00	0.006
	19-23	3,872	0.76	-0.05	0.01	3,917		0.01	0.005
Ì	24-29	1,369	0.77	-0.06	0.018	1,387		0.02	
	30 plus	1,788	0.76	-0.04	0.018	1,814	,	0.02	
1							3.,,,		0.011
Second yea	rLT 18	156	0.85	-0.09	0.126	158	0.40	-0.01	0.008
ļ	19-23	5,781	0.75	-0.02	0.008	5,810	0.60	0.00	0.004
ŀ	24-29	968	0.76	-0.03	0.019	976	0.84	0.03	0.01
	30 plus	1,182	0.78	-0.04	0.012	1,193	0.88	0.02	0.008
Third year	LT 18	19	0.88	-0.11]] _	<u> </u>	_	:
,	19-23	4,351	0.75	-0.02	0.007	4,385	0.66	0.00	0.004
İ	24-29	907	0.77	-0.01	0.022	914		0.02	
	30 plus	989	0.72	-0.03	0.016	1,012		0.03	,
Fourth year		32	0.75	-0.22	0.03	35	5	0.03	0.041
1	19-23	3,589		-0.02	0.009	3,614	ſ	0.01	
ļ	24-29	901	0.75	-0.02	0.01	909	B.	0.03	0.009
	30 plus	819	0.71	-0.06	0.023	833	0.87	0.03	0.01
Fifth year	LT 18	8	1.00	0.00				1.	
	19-23	699	0.80	-0.01	0.021	706	0.71	0.01	0.005
1	24-29	435	0.77	-0.04	0.048	438	0.91	0.01	0.009
	30 plus	277	0.69	0.02	0.042	280	1	0.02	1
Graduate	LT 18	11	-0.67	-0.27]	
O'addate.	19-23	844	0.73	0.00	0.049	850	0.71	0.01	0.006
1	24-29	1,162	0.73	-0.01	0.049	1,169	1	0.01	
	30 plus	999	0.70	-0.01	0.021	1,002	(0.02	
	•								
_	UESTIONNAIRE			1					[
Mail		23,397		-0.02	0.004	23,534	1	0.01	0.004
Telephone	_	19,396	0.85	-0.04	0.006	19,642	0.79	0.02	.] 0

HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE

YEAR OF HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE

	Consistency Mean difference				Consistency	Mean difference		
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std.err.	N	coefficient		std.err.
	 	COCIECEIA	(0,22)	- Section 1	 	COCITORIA	(51.25)	343.011.
ALL STUDENTS	42,117	0.81	0.04	0.000	23,325	0.96	-0.03	0.031
INSTITUTION TYPE							1	
Public 4-year	16,016	0.60	0.03	0.000	8,763	0.96	-0.03	0.018
Public LT 4-year	4,637	0.57	0.06	0.000	3,396	0.95	0.01	0.053
Private 4-year	16,089		0.03	0.025	7,067	0.95	-0.09	0.129
Private LT 4-year	1,801	0.59	0.04	0.007	1,495		-0.01	0.074
Proprietary 4-year	122		0.09	0.035	90		-0.12	0.041
Proprietary LT 4-year	3,452	4	0.08	0.010	2,514	0.94	0.08	0.045
ATTENDANCE STATUS								
Full-time	30,858	0.59	0.04	0.003	11	0.99	-0.01	0.020
Part-time	11,242	0.58	0.05	0.005	18,132		-0.12	0.066
GENDER								
Male	19,610	0.58	0.05	0.003	10,501	0.96	-0.02	0.040
Female	22,507	0.59	0.04	0.004	12,824		-0.05	0.041
RACE/ETHNICITY								
American Indian	277	0.82	0.09	0.054	163	0.95	-0.12	0.144
Asian	2,042	1	0.18	0.020	853	0.92	-0.01	0.207
Black	3,625	;	0.05	0.007	2,189	0.94	0.02	0.121
Hispanic	2,192	l .	0.09	0.015	1,249	0.98	-0.01	0.056
White	33,981	1	0.02	0.000	18,871	l	-0.04	1
DEPENDENCY STATUS			}				ļ	
Dependent student	26,662	0.82	0.03	0.000	16,499	0.92	0.03	0.024
Independent student	15,455	0.59	0.05	0.005	6,826	0.93	-0.17	0.072
PARENTS' INCOME		 	ļ					}
La \$12,000	2,031	0.60	0.04	0.011	1,456	0.92	0.01	0.033
\$12,000 to 24,000	2,882	0.52	0.03	0.005	2,045	0.92	0.02	0.023
\$24,000 to 30,000	1,341	0.51	0.02	0.007	919	0.92	0.01	0.023
\$30,000 to 50,000	3,566	0.47	0.02	0.004	2,368	0.94	0.01	0.011
\$50,000 బ 75,000	1,410	1.00	0.01	0.006	828	0.90	0.01	0.019
\$75,000 to 100,000	209	1.00	0.02	0.020	100	0.99	0.01	0.023
\$100,000 plus	91	1.00	-	0.000	40	0.96	-0.10	0.120
STUDENTS' INCOME								
LT\$5,000	1,500	0.59	0.04	0.011	795	0.94	0.03	0.106
\$5,000 to 10,000	1,281		0.05	0.012	673	0.89	0.13	0.158
\$10,000 to 15,000	774	1	0.06	0.025	406	0.89	-0.31	0.356
\$15,000 to 20,000	579		0.04	0.018	269	0.95	0.05	
\$20,000 plus	1,052		0.05	0.014	487	0.94	-0.14	
PARENTS' EDUCATION								
LT high school	830	0.56	0.07	0.011	586	0.90	0.06	0.069
High school only	3,325	0.86	0.03	0.005	2,251	0.91	-0.03	0.017
Vocational school	946	0.48	0.02	0.004	617	0.93	0.04	0.054
2-year degree	1,090	0.76	0.02	0.006	677		0.05	0.17
4-year degree	2,190	0.61	0.02	0.020	1,286	0.94	0.00	0.026
Advanced degree	1,995	0.73	0.02	0.000	1,029	0.92	-0.04	0.031

(continued)

HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE YEAR OF HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE

		HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE				YEAR OF HIGH SCHOOL DEGREE			
		1	Consistency	Mean difference	•		Consistency	Mean differe	nce
		N_	coefficient	(bias)	std.err.	N N	coefficient	(bias)	std.err.
	1410 - 5100	}							
AGE/ACADE									
First year	LT 18	4,518	0.52	0.03	0.005	3,432	0.18	0.08	
	19-23	3,787	0.57	0.05	0.009	2,782	0.60	0.08	
	24-29	1,294	0.56	0.07	0.016	822	0.80	-0.02	
}	30 plus	1,665	0.59	0.06	0.011	1,020	0.87	-0.03	0.154
Second year	r LT 18	147	0.75	0.08	0.028	90	0.89	0.03	0.029
	19-23	5,745	0.48	0.03	0.006	4,108	0.77	0.04	0.013
	24-29	955	0.59	0.05	0.015	586	0.82	-0.15	0.075
}	30 plus	1,157	0.60	0.05	0.012	713	0.89	-0.19	0.129
Third year	LT 18	19		0.05	1	10	1.00	0.03	
	19-23	4,327	1	0.02	0.006	2,682	0.60	-0.05	0.024
1	24-29	892	1	0.03	0.012	469	0.74	-0.39	
	30 plus	979	l .	0.05	0.016	492	0.88	0.30	1
Fourth year	LT 18	33		0.21	0.114	23	0.87	0.30	
}	19-23	3,589	!	0.02	0.004	2,081	0.61	0.01	I.
ļ	24-29	890	J	0.04	0.015	470	!	-0.18	4
	30 plus	819	i	0.05	0.015	397	L	-0.42	1
Fifth year	LT 18	7				6	0.99	-0.17	,
, , , , ,	19-23	701	l	0.02	0.008	410	1	0.05	I .
ł	24-29	430	l .	0.03	0.009	233	1	0.33	1
	30 plus	266	l	0.06	0.028	111	1	-0.11	,
Graduate	LT 18	10	_			6	0.94	0.17	,]
	19-23	840	L	0.05	0.015	208	1	0.04	
	24-29	1,154	1	0.06	0.012	254	1	-0.09	
	30 plus	974	1	0.04	0.015	224	T .	-0.6	1
STUDENT O	UESTIONNAIRE						}		
Mail		22,852	0.60	0.06	0.004	12,041	0.95	-0.03	0.052
Telephone		19,265	l .	0.01	0.003	11,284	1	-0.0	

STUDENT RESIDENCE

DEPENDENCY STATUS

		TODENT RE			T	C		.
	-	consistency	Mean difference	í	l	Consistency	Mean differe	
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N N	coefficient	(bi≇s)	std. err.
ALL STUDENTS	43,130	0.84	0.01	0.006	43,176	0.90	-0.02	0.000
INSTITUTION TYPE			j					
Public 4-year	16,211	0.82	0.04	0.006	16,217	0.91	-0.01	0.000
Public LT 4-year	4,814	0.83	-0.02	0.017	4,828	0.92	0.00	0.000
Private 4-year	16,319	0.84	0.01	0.028	16,334	0.90	-0.01	0.026
Private LT 4-year	1,834	0.81	0.03	0.009	1,838	0.89	-0.03	1
Proprietary 4-year	122	0.62	0.02	0.016	122	0.93	0.00	0.012
Proprietary LT 4-year	3,830	0.77	-0.04	0.009	3,837	0.81	-0.08	0.010
ATTENDANCE STATUS							}	ļ
Full-time	31,528	0.83	0.02	0.007	31,561	0.86	-0.02	0.000
Part-time	11,585	ŧ	0.01	0.006	11,598	0.91	0.01	ı
DEPENDENCY STATUS								
Dependent student	27,085	1 0.82	0.01	0.007		-		ļ
Independent student	16,045	0.63	0 02	0.005	-		-	
GENDER								
Male	20,039	0.83	0.01	0.008	20,059	0.90	0.00	0 000
Female	23,091	0.85	0.01	0.005	23,117	0.90	-0.01	I
RACE/ETHNICITY								
American Indian	288	0.78	0.01	0 022	291	0.83	-0.04	0.021
Asian	2,140	0.77	0.00	0.010	2,143	1	0.00	}
Black	3,797	0 82	-0.01	0 014	3,804	1	-0.04	1
Hispanic	2,357		-0.01	0.010	2,361	0.87	-001	1
White	34,548	0.84	0.02	0.006	34,577	0.91	-0.01	0.000
PARENTS' INCOME]
Lt \$12,000	2,110	0.77	-0.01	0.013	2,113	ļ .	0.04	0.007
\$12,000 to 24,000	2,928	0.78	0.02	0.012	2,929		0.01	
\$24,000 to 30.000	1,359		0.05	0.015	1,359	1	0.01	1
\$30,000 to 50,000	3,590	1	0.04	0.013	3,593		0.01	1
\$50,000 to 75,000	1,413	0.83	0.02	0.015	1,416		0.01	1
\$75,000 to 100,000	211	0.77	0.04	0.046	211		0.02	į.
\$100,000 plus	94	0.87	0.03	0.038	94		0.03	4
STUDENTS' INCOME		1						
LT\$5,000	1,574	0.63	-0.01	0.016	1,575		-0.19	0.014
\$5,000 to 10,000	1,315	1	0.01	0.012	1,318		-0.18	I .
\$10,000 to 15,000	813	I .	0.02	0.008	813	ł	-0.15	1
\$15,000 to 20,000	601	1	0.02	0.014	601	Į.	-0.12	
\$20,000 plus	1,074		0.02	0.008	1,075	ì	-0.08	1
PARENTS' EDUCATION								
LT high school	869	0.79	0.00	0.016	869	0.76	0.06	0.013
High school only	3,367	1	0.01	0.011	3.374	L	-0.04	1
Vocational school	962	1	-0 01	0.022	962	1	-0.05	l .
2-year degree	1,108		0.03	0.018	1,108	1	-0.04	
4-year degree	2,202		0.03	0.011	2,204	5	-0.02	
Advanced degree	2,012	1	0.05	0.019	2,012	1	-0.03	



(continued)

STUDENT RESIDENCE

DEPENDENCY STATUS

	<u> </u>	STUDENT RESIDENCE				DEPENDENCY STATUS				
}		1	Consistency	Mean difference	e	}	Consistency	Mean differe	nce	
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
AGE/ACADE				1			_	1		
First year	LT 18	4,575	l .	0.01	0.009	4,577	0.47	-0.01	0.000	
	19-23	3,912		-0.01	0.011	3,917	0.78	-0.04	0.005	
	24-29	1,385		-0.02	0.015	1,387	0.79	0.06	0.009	
l	30 plus	1,812	0.63	(-0.00)	0.011	1,814	0.64	-0.01	0.010	
Second year	r LT 18	158	0.73	0.04	0.032	158	-0.02	-0.01	0.012	
	19-23	5,807	0.81	0.03	0.009	5,810	0.80	-0.09	0.000	
	24-29	975	0.77	0.02	0.014	976	0.88	-0.03	0.008	
	30 plus	1,188	0.70	0.00	0.008	1,193	0.80	0.00	0.006	
Third year	LT 18	21	0.77	0.05		21	-	-0.05		
1	19-23	4,382	1	0.03	0.008	4,385	0.81	-0.02	0.004	
1	24-29	914		0.01	0.009	914	ł	-0.03	0.007	
	30 plus	1,012	1	0.02	0.008	1,012		0.01	0.007	
Fourth year	LT 18	35	0.79	-0.11	0.039	35	1.00		0.000	
	19-23	3,614		0.02	0.008	3,614	1	-0.01	0.004	
į	24-29	909	ľ	0.01	0.009	909	1	-0.02		
	30 plus	833	0.62	0.02	0.011	833	•	0.02		
Fifth year	LT 18	8	1.00	_	.	8		.		
1	19-23	705	0.76	-0.01	0.014	706	0.81	-0.02	0.011	
i	24-29	438		0.00	0.016	438	4	-0.03	0.012	
	30 plus	280	0.66	0.03	0.010	280	,	0.00	ł	
Graduate	LT 18	11	0.62	-0.18		11	_	0.09		
	19-23	849	0.71	0.06	0.057	850	0.68	-0.04	ľ	
	24-29	1,169	1	0.01	0.017	1,169	1	-0.02	1 -	
	30 plus	1,000	L .	0.02	0.010	1,002	_	0.01	0.005	
STUDENT OF	UESTIONNAIRE					1		1		
Mail		23,505	0.84	0.02	0.006	23,534	0.90	-0.01	0.000	
Telephone		19,625	1	0.01	0.007	19,642	1	-0.02	0.000	

GRANT AID RECEIVED GRANT AID AMOUNT

		SKAN I AID						
İ	1	Consistency	Mean difference		İ	Consistency	Mean differe	1
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
ALL STUDENTS	26,312	0.13	-0.22	0.007	9,465	0.24	-597.83	28.712
ALLSTODENIS	20,312	0.13	-0.22	0.007	9,463	0.24	-397.63	26.712
INSTITUTION TYPE								
Public 4-year	8,588	0.06	-0.24	0.009	2,235	0.05	-604.57	53.252
Public LT 4-year	1,855	0.15	-0.26	0.015	512	0.11	-198.36	79.791
Private 4-year	11,109	0.15	-0.26	0.012	4,326	0.18	-738.54	66.503
Private LT 4-year	1,360	0.12	-0.25	0.031	675	0.17	-278.82	114.716
Proprietary 4-year	95	-0.02	-0.24	0.059	29	0.07	-925.93	
Proprietary LT 4-year	3,305	0.10	-0.16	0.014	1,688	0.06	-471.41	52.814
ATTENDANCE STATUS	ł		1					
Full-time	21,858	0.11	-0.25	0.008	8,639	0.23	-654.20	30.248
Part-time	4,447	1	-0.07	0.017	824	1	-3.56	
DEPENDENCY STATUS								
Dependent student	16,225	0.10	-0.23	0.009	6,225	0.24	-549.09	38.221
Independent student	10,087	l .	-0.19	0.012	3,240		-691.48	
Hidependent stoacik	10,007	0.15	3.17	0.012	1 3,240	0.25	-051.40	31,411
GENDER								
Malc	12,186	B.	-0.20	0.011	4,017	,	-656.41	
Female	14,126	0.13	-0.23	0.007	5,448	0.23	-554.64	43.838
RACE/ETHNICITY) 		
American Indian	188	0.15	-0.38	0.098	79	0.09	-1,875.47	292.894
Asian	1,252	0.06	-0.24	0.027	360	0.25	-834.78	253.205
Black	2,965	0.12	-0.32	0.019	1,384	0.25	-921.46	69.023
Hispanic	1,580	0.09	-0.29	0.024	654	0.30	-1,155.66	218.168
White	20,327	0.13	-0.19	0.009	6,988	0.24	-454.88	33.127
PARENTS' INCOME			}					ļ
Lt \$12,000	2,091	0.05	-0.49	0.018	1,206	0.28	-1,338.44	81.595
\$12,000 to 24,000	2,877	1	-0.43	0.014	1,491		-812.69	í
\$24,000 to 30,000	1,328	li .	-0.33	0.020	609	li .	-686.47	1
\$30,000 to 50,000	3,417	1	-0.21	0.020	1,267		-54.85	1
\$50,000 to 75,000	1,281	I .	-0.13	0.029	442	1	500.73	1
\$75,000 to 100,000	186		-0.11	0.064	60	ł	1,037.50	1
\$100,000 plus	78	1	-0.04	0.096	17		1,818.88	1
STUDENTS' INCOME								
LT\$5,000	1,553	0.13	-0.43	0.022	749	0.27	-890.78	78.230
\$5,000 to 10,000	1,297		-0.36	0.019	588		-389.96	
\$10,000 to 15,000	791		-0.28	0.036	329		-446.57	
\$15,000 to 20,000	586	i .	-0.21	0.046	169	1	-309.78	1
\$20,000 plus	1,013	B .	-0.03	0.033	243	1	-296.63	1
PARENTS' EDUCATION								
LT high school	626	0.10	-0.25	0.028	302	0.31	-973.64	135.808
High school only	1,889	i	-0.21	0.019	674	1	-586.04	4
Vocational school	538	L	-0.18	0.038	210	1	967.27	
2-year degree	540	1	-0.16	0.036	198		-488.78	1
4-year degree	912	1	-0.10	0.026	254	1	-241.33	1
Advanced degree	859	L	-0.04	0.026	240	4	-802.79	1



(continued)

GRANT AID RECEIVED GRANT AID AMOUNT

<u>-</u>			JRAINT AID		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GRANT AID AMOUNT			
		1	Consistency	Mean differenc	ı	1	Consistency	Mean differe	
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std.err.
AGE/ACADE	MIC LEVEL					•			
First year	LT 18	3,106	0.05	-0.29	0.019	1,397	0.31	-453.99	72.047
,	19-23	2,623	0.11	-0.24	0.020	1,181	0.27	-557.60	1
	24-29	963	ľ	-0.20	0.023	436		-408.86	1
	30 plus	1,114	i i	-0.23	0.033	451	_	-565.00	1
Second year	or LT 18	108	0.10	-0.31	0.095	40	0.10	-146.10	566.405
, , , , ,	19-23	3,560	1	-0.29	0.015	1,508		-450.95	
	24-29	579	1	-0,25	0.040	189		-703.49	l .
	30 plus	652		-0.19	0.025	199	L	-474.72	1
Third year	LT i8	15	-0.08	-0.53		3	0.97	-292.00	
-	19-23	2,712	0.05	-0.30	0.016	1,058	0.19	-668.91	4
	24-29	538	i .	-0.27	0.030	171	L	-877.35	147.680
	30 plus	499	0.07	-0.22	0.037	146	0.16	-717.57	214.970
Fourth year	r LT 18	25	0.39	-0.44		8	0.48	237.50)
	19-23	2,236	0.09	-0.26	0.017	935	0.25	-444.98	106.984
	24-29	493	0.03	-0.26	0.032	142	0.30	-599.44	215.717
	30 plus	408	0.11	-9.20	0.043	429	0.08	-733.52	200.751
Fifth year	LT 18	6	-0.32	0.17		4	0.74	-1,445.00	
	19-23	373	0.06	-0.27	0.050	133	0.12	-764.96	270.282
	24-29	200	0.12	-0.12	0.055	54	0.07	-249.70	299.891
	30 plus	117	0.08	-0.14	0.070	28	0.54	-322.25	
Graduate	LT 18	9	-0.16	-0.11		5	-0.68	793.80	
ļ	19-23	6!1	0.11	-0.07	0.032	151	0.12	-2,258.31	471.957
	24-29	681	0.12	-0.02	0.031	116	0.09	-1,020.93	673.818
	30 pas	468	0.00	0.00	0.037	57	0.37	-54.88	589.636
STUDENT Q	UESTIONNAIRE								
Mail		14,241		-0.22	0.006	4,997	0.23	-657.91	43.484
Telephone	<u></u>	12,071	0.13	-0.21	0.011	4,468	0.25	-530.64	430.440

WORK AID RECEIVED WORK AID AMOUNT Mean difference Consistency Mean difference Consistency coefficient coefficient N (bias) (bias) std. err. std. car. 26,312 **0 14** -0.10 0.005 2.921 ഹ -1.407.98 156,270 ALL STUDENTS INSTITUTION TYPE 8,588 0.05 -0.12 0.008 772 0.02 -1.701.20 155.294 Public 4-year 1,855 406,957 Public LT 4-year 0.13 -0.07 0.008 78 0.31 -1,257.58 0.005 1.879 -1,229,24 110.712 Private 4-year 11,109 0.14 -0.11 0.03 -0.05 0.010 125.246 Private LT 4-year 1.360 0.13 111 0.43 -646.21 Proprietary 4-year 0.02 0.021 Proprietary LT 4-year 3,305 0.15 -0.02 0.025 81 -3.948.46 985.046 -0.08 ATTENDANCE STATUS 2,797 Full-time 21,858 0.14 -0.11 0.005 0.02 -1,356.11 153.726 Part-time 4,447 0.01 -0.01 0.009 124 0.02 -2,577.87 412.281 DEPENDENCY STATUS 0.006 2,220 Dependent student 16,225 0.15 -0.11 0.04 -1.111.03 86.178 Independent student 10,087 0.08 -0.060.006 701 0.02 -2.348.41352,399 GENDER 0.007 Male 12,186 0.13 -0.10 1348 0.03 -1,611.86 284.838 0.005 14,126 0.15 1573 0.00 -1,233.26 82,686 Female -0.10RACE/ETHNICITY American Indian 188 0.03 -0.11 0.034 11 -0.03 -1.230.82 1,252 0.11 -0.15 0.016 -2,059.54 367.571 Asian 141 -0.04 2.965 0.18 -0.11 0.013 328 231,638 Black -0.02 -1,069.06 1,580 0.10 -0.09 0.013 150 465.939 Hispanic -0.10 -1,624.16 White 20,327 0.14 0.006 2291 -1,403.10 -0.09 0.03 153.546 PARENTS' INCOME 0.20 0.017 Lt\$12,000 2.091 -0.18 369 0.02 -953.10 69,394 \$12,000 to 24,000 -0.22 0.016 2.877 0.19 588 0.02 -966.60 115.218 \$24,000 to 30,000 -0.25 0.017 1,328 0.17 288 0.12 -963.70 96.458 \$30,000 to 50,000 3,417 0.14 -0.16 0.012 566 0.04 -1,003.81 122,679 \$50,000 to 75,000 1,281 0.20 -0.08 0.014 172 0.06 -828.24 164.321 \$75,000 to 100,000 0.02 0.043 186 -0.03 21 -0.16 -1.249.19 \$100,000 plus 78 0.18 0.055 -0.04 -0.20 -887.71 STUDENTS' INCOME LT\$5,000 1.553 0.07 -0.17 0.022 218 0.04 -1.796.69 154.614 \$5,000 to 10,000 1,297 0.13 -0.10 0.014 141 0.06 -1,618.92 228.328 \$10,000 to 15,000 791 0.09 -0.08 0.019 69 0.18 -3,083.46 814.934 \$15,000 to 20,000 586 0.08 -0.07 0.026 37 -0.20 -3,191.70 1329.549 \$20,000 plus 1,013 0.03 -0.02 0.027 51 -0.04 -4,306.37 1966.849 PARENTS' EDUCATION 0.13 0.018 LT high school 626 -0.0865 0.03 -965.15 95.681 0.011 170 High school only 1,889 0.12 -0.08 0.10 -1,474.58 177.904 Vocational school 538 0.24 -0.11 0.017 67 -0.15 -1,521.73 991.608 2-year degree 540 0.04 -0.05 0.023 49 -0.05 -1,639.80 354.047 0.10 0.015 80 4-year degree 912 -0.04 -0.07 -1,019.29 207.881 859 0.08 0.017



Advanced degree

-0.01

0.05

-1,324.11

268.170

(continued)

WORK AID RECEIVED WORK AID AMOUNT Consistency Mean difference Consistency Mean difference N coefficient (bias) std. err. coefficient (bias) std. err. AGE/ACADEMIC LEVEL -730.23 First year LT 18 3,106 0.16 -0.13 0.011 481 0.08 54.546 19-23 2,623 0.20 -0.09 0.013 234 -0.08 -1,165.52 302.817 24-29 963 0.15 -0.02 0.016 34 -0.08 -2,939.24 1451.767 0.08 0.00 0.013 22 -0.30 -2.531.36 30 plus 1,114 108 -0.02 -0.19 0.056 0.31 -1,158.80 Second year LT 18 15 19-23 3,560 0.13 -0.15 0.013 562 0.07 -965.00 77.434 24-29 579 0.14 -0.06 0.024 37 -0.12 -2,028.46 1251.678 30 plus 452 0.04 -0.03 0.015 21 -0.01 -1,371.43 Third year LT 18 15 0.68 -0.07 300.00 19-23 2,712 0.14 -0.14 0.012 414 0.05 -1,066.04 106.575 24-29 538 0.13 -0.07 0.018 44 0.02 -1.042.23 145.379 499 0.09 -0.01 0.021 23 -1,738.65 30 plus 0.17 Fourth year LT 18 25 -0.06 -0.04 -730.00 19-23 0.13 -0.16 0.011 423 0.08 -933.45 96,268 2,236 24-29 493 0.12 -0.07 0.021 48 0.02 -2,187.56 650.612 30 plus 408 -0.03 (-0.00)0.030 26 -0.14 -2,095.12 Fifth year LT 18 0.00 0 6 0.06 0.035 50 -1,352.76 19-23 373 -0.14 0.58 21.172 24-29 200 0.16 -0.06 0.032 24 -0.30 -1,567.75 30 plus 117 0.06 -0.02 0.036 -0.53 -865.20 LT 18 -0.13 0.00 Graduate 0 0.03 -0.08 0.029 19-23 611 54 0.13 -2,789.04 438.114 24-29 681 0.05 -0.07 0.023 56 -0.14 -2,765.57 553.913 30 plus 468 0.00 -0.04 0.022 17 -0.19 -2,054.47



0.006

0.006

1,563

1,358

0.00

0.03

-1,502.79

-1,298.86

141.372

273.945

-0.10

-0.09



STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE

14,241

12,071

0.13

0.14

Mail

Telephone

LOAN AID RECEIVED

LOAN AID AMOUNT

	LOAN AID RECEIVED					LOAN AID AMOUNT				
		Consistency	Mean differenc	e	}	Consistency	Mean differe	nce		
	N	∞efficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.		
]			
ALL STUDENTS	26,312	0.17	-0.17	0.006	8,972	0.52	-633.48	64.531		
INSTITUTION TYPE										
Public 4-year	8,588	0.11	-0.20	0.010	2,153	0.52	-675.98	43.743		
Public LT 4-year	1,855	0.27	-0.08	0.008	229	0.23	-706.74	174.435		
Private 4-year	11,109	0.11	-0.16	0.050	4,053	0.52	-899.76	133.235		
Private LT 4-year	1,360	0.12	-0.16	0.015	501	0.19	-490.14	148.828		
Proprietary 4-year	95	0.06	-0.28	0.028	49	-0.01	-135.41	149.539		
Proprietary LT 4-year	3,305	0.12	-0.16	0.016	1,987	0.09	-84.24	65.133		
ATTENDANCE STATUS										
Full-time	21,858	0.15	-0.20	0.007	8,266	0.52	-649.62	70.984		
Part-time	4,447	0.17	-0.01	0.010	705	0.41	-448.37	91.645		
DEPENDENCY STATUS										
Dependent student	16,225	0.14	-0.17	0.007	5,610	0.49	-501.28	101.712		
Independent student	10,087	0.21	-0.16	0.009	3,362	0.55	-854.07	58.919		
GENDER										
Male	12,186	0.18	-0.17	0.007	4,142	0.53	-660.61	106.397		
Female	14,126	0.16	-0.17	0.007	4,830	0.49	-610.21	55.062		
RACE/ETHNICITY										
American Indian	188	0.22	-0.19	0.072	65	0.65	-588.77	440.133		
Asian	1,252	0.19	-0.09	0.021	287	0.62	-137.51	226.050		
Black	2,965	0.17	-0.20	0.016	1,123	0.52	-501.93	95.760		
Hispanic	1,580	0.25	-0.16	0.016	582	0.43	-505.52	186.219		
White	20,327	0.16	-0.17	0.007	6,915	0.52	-686.61	57.528		
PARENTS' INCOME						<u> </u> 				
L: \$12,000	2,091	0.19	-0.25	0.019	891	0.45	-306.30	103.644		
\$12,000 to 24,000	2,877	0.12	-0.32	0.016	1,283	0.46	-549.54	141.650		
\$24,000 to 30,000	1,328	0.10	-0.39	0.023	646	0.52	-668.28	101.580		
\$30,000 to 50,000	3,417	0.07	-0.41	0.012	1,669	0.50	-625.92	60.501		
\$50,000 to 75,000	1,281	0.07	-0.31	0.021	627	0.48	-194.71	187.991		
\$75,000 to 100,000	186	0.05	-0.22	0.051	72	0.45	-1,228.56	511.759		
\$100,000 plus	78	0.20	-0.04	0.072	30	0.27	658.50	808.108		
STUDENTS' INCOME										
LT\$5,000	1,553	0.19	-0.33	0.023	736	0.53	-1,177.65	115.660		
\$5,000 to 10,000	1,297		-0.36	0.028	605	0.62	-902.51	109.041		
\$10,000 to 15,000	791	0.15	-0.34	0.023	384	0.53	-755.41	129.337		
\$15,000 to 20,000	586	0.09	-0.46	0.033	257	0.67	-1,273.69	206.893		
\$20,000 plus	1,013	0.12	-0.37	0.023	556	0.46	-788.36	156.805		
PARENTS' EDUCATION					1		1			
LT high school	626	0.24	-0.15	0.027	241	0.43	-375.44	145.225		
High school only	1,889		-0.15	0.017	595	0.55	-629.39	143.455		
Vocational school	538	0.11	-0.12	0.030	172	0.38	-118.08	175.303		
2-year degree	540	•	-0.12	0.027	179	4	-222.81	255.260		
4-year degree	912		-0.05	0.023	230	1	-239.71	,		
Advanced degree	859	0.15	0.00	0.030	223	0.39	-283.02	534.639		

(continued)

LOAN AID RECEIVED

LOAN AID AMOUNT

f		DOAN AID RECEIVED				DON'N AID AMOUNT				
		1	Consistency	Mean difference	1		Consistency	Mean difference		
		N ,	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
4 CE/4 CA DE	MIC V PAPEL			ŀ						
AGE/ACADE							ł	}	j	
First year	LT 18	3,106		-0.19	0.016	1,118	1	-581.24		
	19-23	2,623	0.24	-0.18	0.016	1,123	i	-245.07	84.390	
	24-29	963	0.35	-0.15	0.026	444	0.31	-208.74	160.386	
	30 plus	1,114	0.36	-0.09	0.025	392	0.38	-257.32	126.759	
Second year	LT 18	108	0.10	-0.31	0.089	34	-0.15	-1,121.53	398.881	
	19-23	3,560	0.14	-0.21	0.015	1,255	I .	-654.53	1	
	24-29	579	0.14	-0.20	0.033	176		-648.66		
	30 plus	652	0.16	-0.12	0.027	158	ł	-484.85	I .	
Third year	LT 18	15	0.21	-0.07		,		2,815.00		
•	19-23	2,712	0.06	-0.24	0.017	893	0.17	-542.75	1	
	24-29	538	0.06	-0.19	0.037	133		-684.21		
	30 plus	499	0.04	-0.06	0.034	104	1	-1,023.55		
Fourth year	I T 18	25	0.00	-0.04		-	0.57			
routur your	19-23	2,236		-0.21	0.018	5		-1,840.00	1	
	24-29	493	0.06	-0.24		768		-637.75		
	30 plus	408	0.06	-0.24	0.036 0.031	148		-175.84 -1,456.89		
P14.								1,100109		
Fifth year	LT 18	6		0.50		1	-	0.00		
1	19-23	373	0.06	-0.25	0.045	129	0.58	-627.65	167.853	
	24-29	200		-0.20	0.047	68	0.50	-444.31	363.684	
	30 plus	117	0.10	-0.15	0.057	24	0.84	-447.08		
Graduate	LT 18	9	-0.32	0.33		4	0.15	412.50		
	19-23	611	0.21	-0.16	0.031	239	0.36	-838.07	Į.	
	24-29	681	0.11	-0.17	0.028	197		-1,316.48	1	
	30 plus	468	0.11	-0.05	0.036	100	1	-1,998.70		
STUDENT OI	JESTIONNAIRE									
Mail	-	14,241	0.17	-0.17	0.008	4,640	0.53	-671.48	89.982	
Telephone		12,071	0.17	-0.18	0.008	4,332	1	-592.77		

FEDERAL AID RECEIVED

FEDERAL AID AMOUNT

	FEDERAL AID RECEIVED				FEDERAL AID AMOUNT				
	ì	Consistency	Mean difference	e		Consistency	Mean differe	nce	
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
ALL STUDENTS	26,312	0.13	-0.22	0.006	10,504	0.25	-1,355.32	54.699	
INSTITUTION TYPE									
Public 4-year	8,588	0.09	-0.27	0.011	2,655	0.3	1,321.98	49.851	
Public LT 4-year	1,855	0.16	-0.22	0.008	486	0.25	-514.42	133.197	
Private 4-year	11,109	0.11	-0.17	0.044	4,381	0.26	-2,084.72	381.130	
Private LT 4-year	1,360	0.11	-0.23	0.015	652	0.06	-694.43	121.143	
Proprietary 4-year	95	0.17	-0.29	0.030	51	-0.12	-410.63	115.089	
Proprietary LT 4-year	3,305	0.05	-0.22	0.012	2,279	0.05	-381.57	90.767	
ATTENDANCE STATUS									
Full-time	21,858	0.10	-0.25	0.008	9,617	0.25	-1,422.92	58.204	
Part-time	4,447	0.14	-0.04	0.013	886	0.24	-626.51	110.702	
DEPENDENCY STATUS									
Dependent student	16,225	0.11	-0.21	0.008	6,448	0.23	-1,125.76	44,775	
Independent student	10,087	0.18	-0.23	0.011	4,056	0.29	-1,720.27	111.612	
GENDER									
Male	12,186	0.13	-0.21	0.011	4,677	0.27	-1,565.91	72,283	
Female	14,126	ı	-0.22	0.008	5,827	0.21	1,186.30		
1 culare	14,120	,,,,	-0.22	0.008	3,027	0.21	-1,100.50	36.007	
RACE/ETHNICITY									
American Indian	188	1	-0.40	0.089	88		-980.72		
Asian	1,252	0.11	-0.17	0.025	354		-1,511.54	l	
Black	2,965	0.10	-0.31	0.020	1,477		1,212.34	i e	
Hispanic	1,580	0.13	-0.28	0.018	732	l	-1,366.42		
White	20,327	0.13	-0.20	0.007	7,853	0.27	-1,378.34	61.970	
PARENTS' INCOME									
Lt \$12,000	2,091	0.02	-0.48	0.018	1,244	0.25	-1,464.36	95.059	
\$12,000 to 24,900	2,877	0.06	-0.46	0.016	1,621	0.18	-1,231.01	113.000	
\$24,000 to 30,000	1,328	0.06	-0.40	0.020	704	0.24	-1,175.72	105.572	
\$30,000 to 50,000	3,417	0.05	-0.38	0.013	1,714	0.19	-791.50	79.856	
\$50,000 to 75,000	1,281	0.03	-0.29	0.021	625	0.24	-830.27	171.790	
\$75,000 to 100,000	186	7	-0.18	0.052	71	0.36	-1,494.62	614.081	
\$100,000 plus	78	0.12	-0.17	0.077	31	0.39	-1,600.94	623.740	
STUDENTS' INCOME]					
LT\$5,000	1,553		-0.49	0.024	908		-2,418.32		
\$5,000 to 10,000	1,297		-0.46	0.023	731		-1,678.46		
\$10,000 to 15,000	791	0.05	-0.44	0.027	456	0.33	-1,120.47	155.872	
\$15,000 to 20,000	586		-0.49	0.032	290	0.34	-1,603.55	311.329	
\$20,000 plus	1,013	0.06	-0.36	0.030	563	0.33	-1,575.42	197.059	
PARENTS' EDUCATION									
LT high school	626	0.16	-0.26	0.030	334	0.21	-1,009.34	129.920	
High school only	1,889	0.11	-0.21	0.018	739		-1,140.71	,	
Vocational school	538		-0.15	0.040	213	4	-850.57		
2-year degree	540	1	-0.16	0.036	200		-1,584,70	1	
4-year degree	912		-0.07	0.027	248		-1,567.00)	
Advanced degree	859		0.02	0.036	237		-1,768.55		

(continued)

FEDERAL AID RECEIVED

FEDERAL AID AMOUNT

		FEDERAL AID RECEIVED				TEDERAL AID AMOUNT				
	•		Consistency	Mean difference	•		Consistency	Mean differe		
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
AGE/ACADE!					0.016	1 200	0.07	-898.55	82.194	
First year	LT 18	3,106	0.08	-0.23	0.016	1,290	į.	1		
	19-23	2,623	0.17	-0.25	0.018	1,341	0.12	-567.37	1 '	
•	24-29	963	0.21	-0.23	0.028	543	0.16	-651.93	? I	
,	30 plus	1,114	0.2*	-0.22	0.032	531	0.24	-652.83	120.364	
Second year	LT 18	108	0.06	-0.34	0.100	41	-0.15	-1,666.80	514.039	
	19-23	3,560	0.10	-0.28	0.015	1,521	0.19	-1,017.28	89.509	
	24-29	579	1	-0.28	0.047	226	0.20	-886.99	219.165	
	30 plus	652	0.15	-0.22	0.030	215	0.27	-927.61	261.115	
Third year	LT 18	15	-0.20	1 -0.33		1		2,330.00	,	
· imo year	19-23	2,712		-0.28	0.018	1,035	0.16	-1,074.46		
ŀ	24-29	538	li .	-0.27	0.040	174	1	-1,596.34	1	
	30 plus	499	1	-0.14	0.043	142	I	-1,076.99		
	50 pius	"	0.05		0.043		5.1.5	1,0		
Fourth year	LT 18	25	0.05	-0.40		7	0.83	492.43	3	
ļ	19-23	2,236	0.06	-0.24	0.015	879	0.03	-1,133.81	120.867	
	24-29	493	0.04	-0.32	0.036	182	0.46	-1,584.81	217.809	
	30 plus	408	0.16	-0.15	0.031	129	0.28	-1,450.7	330.308	
Fifth year	LT 18	6	0.45	0.33		3	1.00	456.6	,	
1 201) 021	19-23	373	1	-0.32	0.054	149	1	-1,291.2	1	
1	24-29	200	4	-0.25	0.058	77		-819.6	4	
	30 plus	117	1	-0.17	0.060	29	1	-711.2	1	
				0.00				76.0	_	
Graduate	LT 18	9	l .	0.00			1	-76.6	1	
	19-23	611	1	-0.15	0.036	243	1	-2.933.3	1	
1	24-29	681	L .	-0.18	0.030	201	1	-3,535.1	1	
	30 plus	468	0.11	-0.03	0.035	101	0.06	-3,174.0	5 498.923	
STUDENT Q	UESTIONNAIRE									
Mail		14,241	0.12	-0.21	0.010	5,469		-1,466.5	1	
Telephone		12,071	0.14	-0.22	0.008_	5,03	5 0.24	-1,234.4	8 67.178	



PARENT'S INCOME (DEP.) NO. OF DEPENDENTS (IND.)

	- P/		COME (DEP.)	NO. OF DEPENDENTS (IND.)				
		Consistency	Moss different	•		Consistency	Mean differe	ace
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
	1		ļ					
ALL STUDENTS	14,137	0.33	1.02	0.029	3,862	0.66	-1.09	0.037
INSTITUTION TYPE								
Public 4-year	4,650	0.25	1.17	0.039	1,266	0.75	-1.21	0.028
Public LT 4-year	746	0.18	1.22	0.055	319	0.58	-1.05	0.113
Private 4-year	6,657	0.30	1.03	0.157	1,151	0.73	-1.15	0.040
Private LT 4-year	763	0.35	0.95	0.094	250	0.65	-1.17	0.102
Proprietary 4-year	64		0.41	0.162	13	0.02	0.92	
Proprietary LT 4-year	1,257	0.58	0.38	0.047	863	0.51	-0.94	0.070
ATTENDANCE STATUS				J				
Full-time	12,958	0.35	0.94	0.030	3,205	0.65	-1.07	0.034
Part-time	1,175	0.13	1.88	0.071	657	0.69	-1.16	
DEPENDENCY STATUS						·		
Dependent student	14,137	0.33	1.02	0.029] .			
Independent student			+	-	3,862	0.66	-1.09	0.037
GENDER								
Male	6,872	0.32	1.11	0.040	1,535	0.76	-1.01	0.038
Female	7,265	0.35	0.94	0.035	2,327	0.60	-1.13	0.060
RACE/ETHNICITY								
American Indian	71	0.64	0.55	0.231	47	0.53	-1.12	0.479
Asian	666	0.42	0.91	0.085	120	0.74	-1.00	0.149
Black	1,420	0.54	0.54	0.057	542	0.53	-0.72	3
Hispanic	809	0.49	0.65	0.058	274	0.62	-0.91	0.115
White	11,171	0.26	1.12	0.038	2,879	0.71	-1.17	1
PARENTS' INCOME								
Lt \$12,000	,		-] ,			
\$12,000 to 24,000							-	
\$24,000 to 30,000					.			
\$30,000 to \$0,000	1 .			.			-	
\$50,000 to 75,000							1 .	
\$75,000 to 100,000				ا . ا				
\$100,000 plus	}	-		-	-		-	
STUDENTS' INCOME	}							
LT\$5,000				۱ . ا	947	0.73	-0.96	0.033
\$5,000 to 10,000				.	813		-1.08	•
\$10,000 to 15,000				.	552	,	-1.30	
\$15,000 to 20,000			ļ .		403	0.78	-1.33	ľ
\$20,000 plus	-		-	-	726	0.67	-1.67	
PARENTS' EDUCATION	ļ							
LT high school	364	0.36	0.69	0.126	76	0.45	-0.93	0.170
High school only	1,164		1.38	0.090	255		-1.05	
Vocational school	336	1	1.49	0.185	60		-0.95	1
2-year degree	312	4	1.86	0.156	82	•	-1.17	
4-year degree	620	1	2.67	0.093	72		-0.99	1
Advanced degree	569		3.24	0.107	69		-1.09	

(continued)

PARENT'S INCOME (DEP.) NO. OF DEPENDENTS (IND.)

		PAKENT'S INCOME (DEP.)				NO. OF DEPENDENTS (IND.)				
	1	Consistency	Mean difference	Mean difference		Consistency		Mean difference		
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	Ň	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.		
AGE/ACADEMIC LEVEL								·		
First year LT 18	2,631	0.39	0.78	0.064	14	0.88	-1.21			
19-23	1,770	0.42	0.77	0.065	221	0.44	-0.94	1		
24-29	172	0.34	0.88	0.226	364	0.59	-0.93			
30 plus	49	0.28	0.80	0.294	496	ł	-1.09	1		
30 p.23	"	0.20	0.55	0.269	1	0,5.	,	0.070		
Second year LT 18	93	0.36	0.75	""	1 0	_		į		
19-23	2,880	0.35	0,86	0.055	128	ì	-0.98	0.122		
24-29	125	0.41	1.14	0.215	187	0.55	-0.96	0.171		
30 plus	41	0.04	1.20	0.409	240	0.50	-1.10			
Third year LT 18	11	0.67	0.82		1.	_				
19-23	2,102		1.04	0.069	166	0.70	0.97	0.050		
24-29	133	0.31	1.18	0.173	174		-1.22	1		
30 plus	20		1.05		175	1	-1.19	L		
r .1 1 m 10	19	0.42	0.50		1					
Fourth year LT 18	1,743	0.42	0.58	0.056	141	0.60	-1.01	1		
19-23 24-29	1,743	0.23	1.15	0.056	170		1	1		
24-29 30 plus	27	0.33	2.04	0.215	142	1	-1.06	1		
				[[
Fifth year LT 18	5	f	0.80			1		-[
19-23	247	0.23	1.10	0.148	51		-1.04	1		
24-29	63	0.14	1.37	0.273	60		-0.98			
30 plus	8	-0.13	1.38		35	0.85	-0.89	0.146		
Graduate LT 18	8	0.32	1.63		,			-}		
19-23	436	0.32	1.50	0.141	31	0.63	-1.10	0.074		
24-29	220	0.26	1.72	0.182	137	0.80	-1.2	0.073		
30 plus	42	0.07	1.55	0.435	116	0.77	-1.2	0.12		
STUDENT QUESTI ONNAIRE										
Mail	7,340	0.34	0.99	0.035	2,167	0.66	-1.1	0.04		
Telephone	6,797		1.06	0.042	1,695		-1.0	3		

AMOUNT OF SAVINGS (IND.)

STUDENT'S INCOME (IND.)

	AMOUNT OF SAVINGS (IND.)				STUDENT'S INCOME (IND.)				
		Consistency	Mean difference		1	Consistency	Mean differe		
	N -	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
ALL STUDENTS	3,792	0.25	12,331.87	664.533	3,811	0.82	118.92	143.432	
INSTITUTION TYPE									
Public 4-year	1,247	0.12	9,576.60	737.347	1,282	0.85	180.55	152.120	
Public LT 4-year	1,062	0.30	8,276.49	1050.009	331	0.71	306.69	359.619	
Private 4-year	14	0.15	16,778.90	1770.545	1,180	0.83	-154.22	299.905	
Private LT 4-year	361	0.23	20,264.46	4513.232	236	0.79	187.42	496.201	
Proprietary 4-year	240	0.29	1,817.86		11	0.92	-1,920.09		
Proprietary LT 4-year	868	0.11	10,512.09	976.463	771	0.76	362.01	432.480	
ATTENDANCE STATUS		-	ļ						
Full-time	3,212	0.29	11,014.61	595.192	3,121	0.82	136.20	155,469	
Part-time	580	0.10	19,626.76	2013.845	690	0.81	40.80	284.735	
DEPENDENCY STATUS									
Dependent student	-,		-	.		-	-		
Independent student	3,792	0.25	12,331.87	664.533	3,811	0.82	118.92	143.432	
GENDER									
Male	1,502	0.16	10,910.21	696.260	1,590	0.83	-87.38	240.611	
Female	2,290	1	13,264.33	881.430	2,221	0.81	266.62	i	
RACE/ETHNICITY							:		
American Indian	53	-0.03	14,016.74	4368.242	48	0.87	-660.06	450.915	
Asian	121	0.41	3,703.09	683.960	115	0.86	-909.13	373.971	
Black	528	0.23	6,888.29	903.826	475	0.83	-363.21	l .	
Hispanic	271	t	7,827.01	1329.734	268	0.89	98.04		
White	2,819	0.25	14,123.22	873.268	2,905	0.81	253.25	1	
PARENTS' INCOME									
Lt \$12,000	_	-	-						
\$12,000 to 24,000			-	-					
\$24,000 to 30,000	-	-	-		-			İ	
\$30,000 to 50,000	-		-	-	-				
\$50,000 to 75,000	-	-	-		.		-	1	
\$75,000 to 100,000	-	-	-	- 1	il .				
\$100,000 plus	-	-	-] .		-		
STUDENTS' INCOME									
LT\$5,000	900	0.17	5,380.87	806.858	871	0.11	1,618.30	307.836	
\$5,000 to 10,000	750	0.54	7,839.87	1372.117	821	0.28	384.10	1	
\$10,000 to 15,000	493	1	10,370.24	1344.200	565		-398.92	1	
\$15,000 to 20,000	316	I	14,770.03	1981.924	421	I .	-823.53		
\$20,000 plus	563	I	39,873.40	3061.775	774	Į.	-1,950.27	I .	
PARENTS' EDUCATION									
LT high school	82	0.07	3,952.72	1178.550	80	0.78	747.45	369.022	
High school only	257		5,025.11	1152.443	241	1	281.86		
Vocational school	68	i	5,530.90	3160.180	61	ł.	885.49	1	
2-year degree	78		2,696.96	568.735	81	1	286.06	1	
4-year degree	64	1	2,717.20	677.190	68	1	145.04	l .	
Advanced degree	68		6,124.19	1798.525	84	1	-625.52	1	

(continued)

AMOUNT OF SAVINGS (IND.)

STUDENT'S INCOME (IND.)

		T	Consistency	Mean different	2		Consistency	Mean difference	
[l N	coefficient	(bias)	sid. err.	N	coefficient		sid. err.
				(42)	1				
AGE/ACADE	MIC LEVEL								
First year	LT 18	14	-0.19	3,253.43		8	0.98	-1,162.63	
	19-23	238	0.22	3,880.47	419.029	209	0.76	730.04	420.770
	24-29	369	0.19	7,045.14	897.357	341	0.78	188.95	454.078
	30 plus	500	0.10	17,178.05	1464.270	446	0.69	580.78	530.286
Second year	rLT 18	0	-	_	_	0	-		
-	19-23	141	0.22	3,729.28	1040.135	127	0.94	215.07	617.601
	24-29	199	0.23	7,754.01	1203.497	200	0.82	144.77	416.600
	30 plus	250	0.25	18,157.73	2865.564	243	0.87	-260.74	377.551
Third year	LT 18	0	-				<u>-</u>		
-	19-23	183	0.14	1,842.98	452.585	159	0.83	-130.90	392.823
	24-29	165	0.38	8,968.31	3226.951	163	0.57	757.45	820.699
	30 plus	176	0.45	29,902.43	4482.473	166	0.91	-278.60	388.153
Fourth year	r LT 18	_	_		_	_	-	_	
-	19-23	140	0.01	2,823.61	728.170	114	0.79	-356.12	377.342
	24-29	169	0.14	4,547.38	1752.317	172	0.89	-3.58	
	30 plus	148	0.09	22,621.30	2827.576	149	0.90	224.66	383.819
Fifth year	LT 18		-	_	_		_		
_	19-23	54	0.26	745.61	206.483	50	0.95	-400.06	236,884
	24-29	57	0.18	5,625.60	1017.091	57	0.87	890.40	499.325
	30 plus	36	0.06	28,912.86	6836.455	40	0.95	-572.18	
Graduate	LT 18	_	-	_	_	_	_		
	19-23	28	-0.04	6,448.36		31	0.87	-1,153.06	1292.420
	24-29	120	0.11	16,379.08	4092.283	152	0.86	-212.46	1
	30 plus	90	0.18	20,568.42	3697.817	145	0.89	396.41	1
STUDENT Q	UESTIONNAIRE								
Mail		2,127	0.20	11,154.38	758.253	2,323	0.85	34.71	179.420
Telephone		1,665	0.30	13,836.09	810.701	1,488	l .	250.40	



FEDERAL TAX (IND.)

TUITION

	FEDERAL TAX (IND.)				Consistency Mean difference				
	1	Consistency	Mean differenc	1.1		onsistency			
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N I	coefficient	(bias) s	id. err.	
ALL STUDENTS	1,890	0.60	67.19	29.218	24,896	0.59	-99.16	57.848	
INSTITUTION TYPE				i	į				
Public 4-year	681	0.63	90.00	48.006	8,178	0.48	-108.60	50.114	
Public LT 4-year	194	0.69	73.11	45.503	1,691	0.20	84.97	135.937	
Private 4-year	600	0.53	76.70	100.768	10,697	0.50	-15.91	168.299	
Private LT 4-year	105	0.92	18.05	82.205	1,274	0.38	18.94	248.514	
Proprietary 4-year	7	1.00	5.86		92	0.58	238.40	46.901	
Proprietary LT 4-year	303	0.64	11.82	58.382	2,964	0.33	-539.79	232.735	
ATTENDANCE STATUS					į				
Full-time	1,544	0.61	42.05	31.391	20,709	0.57	-108.58	75.279	
Part-time	346	0.61	179.38	73.730	4,180	0.46	-51.31	30.554	
DEPENDENCY STATUS									
Dependent student	-	-	-	-	15,430	0.57	-41.67	74.038	
Independent student	1,890	0.60	67.19	293.218	9,466	0.61	-192.85	54.596	
GENDER						A 15	0.5	68.612	
Male	747	1	83.36	54.366	11,669	0.60	-84.51		
Female	1,143	0.55	56.62	36.868	13,227	0.58	-112.08	58.045	
RACE/ETHNICITY				1	1,50	0.46	159.00	135.090	
American Indian	22	1	49.14		170	0.46	-158.92 -277.32	116.679	
Asian	67	1	-21.64	44.879	1,163	0.63	3.28	i	
Black	223	1	-79.70	49.937	2,728	1	-182.82]	
Hispanic	158	1	19.04	45.255	1,428	1	-96.20		
White	1,420	0.57	100.09	38.583	19,407	0.39	-90.20	03.772	
PARENTS' INCOME					1.947	0.53	-76.05	76.662	
Lt \$12,000		-	1	-	2,740	1	-74.31	1	
\$12,000 to 24,000	1	-	-		1,262	1	-105.74		
\$24,000 to 30,000		1 .	-		3,287	Į.	-16.15	l .	
\$30,000 to 50,000	Ì		_		1,240	i i	230.20	1	
\$50,000 to 75,000			1]		181	i	394.12	į.	
\$75,000 to 100,000 \$100,000 plus		-	-	-	75	I .	707.07	393.803	
STUDENTS' INCOME					}				
LT\$ 5,000	1 41	0.34	133.84	44.620	1,449	0.56	-50.2		
\$5,000 to 10,000	38		107.04		1,247	0.60	-161.33	1	
\$10,000 to 15,000	28	-1	77.55	1	744		-175.9	1	
\$15,000 to 20,000	19		179.15	115.174	552		-207.6		
\$20,000 plus	40	0.39	-36.75	119.378	976	0.62	-260.1	145.63	
PARENTS' EDUCATION								05.71	
LT high school		5 0.39	· ·		57	t .	1	ı	
High school only	11	1		1	1,76	1	1	- 1	
Vocational school	· ·	7 0.48	l l		51				
2-year degree	ı	0.86			52			1	
4-year degree		0.97	1	i i	87		1		
Advanced degree	3	-0.02	237.7	664.340	82	دد.ںاد		U 175.50	



STUDENT-INSTITUTIONAL COMPARISONS

(continued)

FEDERAL TAX (IND.)

TUITION

FEDERAL TAX (IND.)									
		1	Consistency	Mean difference	•	1	Consistency	Mean differe	nce
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
				ļ					
AGE/ACADE	MIC LEVEL								
First year	LT 18	2	•		-	2,958		112.00	
	19-23	84	0.81	-22.75	42.055	2,442	0.52	-156.09	106.706
	24-29	142	0.67	121.21	76.718	888	l	-309.10	101.946
	30 plus	203	0.81	153.94	92.291	1,012	0.60	-446.90	68.555
Second year	r LT 18		-	_	-	104	0.61	531.04	212.846
-	19-23	61	0.78	-29.56	43.443	3,394	0.56	10.91	69.060
	24-29	92	0.43	142.03	64.185	546	0.50	-151.40	131.477
	30 plus	128	0.81	57.74	52.181	609	0.64	-187.93	52.461
Third year	LT 18]	-		.	15	0.38	299.67	1
	19-23	83	0.83	-19.06	41.480	2,594	0.53	-62.30	98.191
ł	24-29	72		320.13	327.761	523		-49.36	66.825
	30 plus	95	0.86	48.46	78.920	473	0.62	-223.96	
Fourth year	LT 18		-	_		22	0.29	-894.64	,
	19-23	43	0.56	-31.23	160.371	2,144	0.53	-108.70	101.724
I	24-29	77	0.85	53.78	76.211	477	0.66	-188.48	89.919
	30 plus	72	0.77	150.83	61.212	386	0.56	-335.46	104.173
Fifth year	LT 18	_	_	_	_]	5	1.00	2,787.00	
1	19-23	36	0.64	206.39	154.938	350	0.64	-276.65	91.566
	24-29	49		-145.53	143.862	190	1	-234.03	120.923
	30 plus	28	0.85	75.29		114	0.27	212.98	116.357
Graduate	LT 18	_	_	-		8	0.02	-407.25	5
	19-23	13	0.98	-67.38		591	0.64	-116.17	318.529
	24-29	75	0.88	166.03	139.499	662	0.72	-142.27	119.618
	30 plus	86	0.78	-196.78	198.966	450	0.53	-187.74	137.67
STUDENT O	STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE								
Mail		1,890	0.60	67.19	29.218	7,388	0.66	-183.1	59.52
Telephone		0	•	-	-	6,201		-4.4	



NUMBER OF DEPENDENTS NUMBER IN COLLEGE Consistency Mean difference Consistency Mean difference coefficient N (bias) std.err. N coefficient (bias) std.err. ALL STUDENTS 2,072 0.79 0.03 0.032 2,031 0.65 0.13 0.021 INSTITUTION TYPE Public 4-year 656 0.81 0.06 0.651 648 0.68 0.13 0.028 Public LT 4-year 164 0.84 0.01 0.085 163 0.47 0.073 0.14 Private 4-year 750 0.84 0.04 0.027 733 0.68 0.15 0.028 Private LT 4-year 185 0.72 0.00 0.211 181 0.58 0.12 0.040 Proprietary 4-year 0.61 0.20 -0.25 0.00 Proprietary LT 4-year 312 0.122 301 0.70 -0.01 0.42 0.11 0.061 ATTENDANCE STATUS 0.033 Full-time 1,934 0.80 0.04 1,899 0.65 0.13 0.021 Part-time 137 0.73 -0.12 0.142 131 0.62 0.114 0.18 DEPENDENCY STATUS Dependent student 2,072 0.79 0.03 0.032 2,031 0.021 0.65 0.13 Independent student GENDER Male 916 0.78 0.03 0.049 898 0.62 0.15 0.035 Female 1,156 0.80 0.01 0.036 1,133 0.67 0.15 0.029 RACE/ETHNICITY American Indian 12 0.64 0.08 12 0.75 -0.25 71 71 Asian 0.82 -0.240.347 0.49 0.14 0.229 Black 313 0.60 -0.15 0.104 299 0.60 0.02 0.049 Hispanic 137 0.75 0.105 -0.04 136 0.60 0.25 0.064 White 1,539 0.86 0.09 0.021 1,513 0.67 0.15 0.022 PARENTS' INCOME Lt \$12,000 550 0.68 -0.06 0.094 535 0.44 0.04 0.045 \$12,000 to 24,000 419 0.85 0.05 0.056 418 0.66 0.040 0.10 \$24,000 to 30,000 190 0.85 0.062 185 0.11 0.64 0.18 0.066 \$30,000 to 50,000 533 0.86 0.05 0.040 526 0.17 0.037 0.69 \$50,000 to 75,000 227 0.86 0.10 0.038 224 0.71 0.27 0.056 \$75,000 to 100,000 27 0.85 0.04 26 0.76 0.27 \$100,000 plus 0.87 19 -0.21 19 0.39 -0.11 STUDENTS' INCOME Lt \$5,000 \$5,000 to 10,000 \$10,000 to 15,000 \$15,000 to 20,000 \$20,000 plus PARENTS' EDUCATION LT high school 317 0.69 -0.16 0.077 305 0.56 0.09 0.034 High school only 813 0.84 0.05 0.046 801 0.58 0.12 0.031 Vocational school 225 0.75 -0.01 0.139 223 0.62 0.16 0.075 2-year degree 182 0.80 0.08 0.081 177 0.69 0.09 0.081 4-year degree 311 0.80 80.0 0.060 307 0.71 0.18 0.034 210 0.89 0.20 Advanced degree 0.061 205 0.60 0.20 0.071



(continued)

NUMBER OF DEPENDENTS

NUMBER IN COLLEGE

	-	NUI	MREK OF DE	EPENDENTS		NUMBER IN COLLEGE			
			Consistency Mean difference			Consistency Mean difference			
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.
AGE/ACADE	MIC I EVEL				1				
First year	LT 18	422	0.76	0.12	0.052	417	0.61	0.10	0.035
1 1130) (21)	19-23	376	0.78	0.12	0.032	366	0.58	0.10	0.033
	24-29	38	0.78	-0.29	0.073	37	0.38	0.14	0.046
	30 plus	13	-0.31	-1.31	0.272	11	-0.15	0.09	0.036
C	- I T 10		0.00						
Second year		16	0.85	0.31		15		0.27	
	19-23	431	0.83	0.03	0.078	427	0.59	0.17	0.056
	24-29	26	1	0.08	1	25	0.78	0.08	
	30 plus	4	0.30	-0.25	İ	4	•	0.00	
Third year	LT 18	2	1.00	0.00		2		0.50	
	19-23	273	0.84	0.02	0.093	270	0.73	0.14	0.044
	24-29	13	0.91	-0.15	j	12	0.62	-0.08	
	30 plus	3	0.98	-0.33		3	-	0.33	
Fourth year	LT 18	1		0.00		1		0.00	
ĺ	19-23	197	0.87	0.00	0.051	195	0.63	0.16	4
	24-29	16	0.77	-0.38	0.051	15		-0.27	
	30 plus	3	1.00	0.00	l	3	0.50	0.33	
Fifth year	LT 18	2	-1.00	2.50		,		0.00	j
7 1111, 702.	19-23	33	0.61	9.15	0.303	32	0.73	0.00	1
	24-29	10	1	0.30	0.303	10			
	30 plus	0	- 0.03	0.30	j	0	0.67	0.10	
Graduate	LT 18] .			}
Graduate	19-23	58	0.06	0.05		1		0.00	
	24-29	17	0.86	-0.05		52		0.10	
•	30 plus	1	0.48	-0.35 0.00	0.134	17	0.84	0.35 0.00	
D. D. D. D. D. D. C	-				ļ				
	ARENT QUESTIONNAIRE					l		}	1
Mail Talaahaa		1,086	0.80	0.11	0.046	1,070		0.27	0.027
Telephone		986	0.78	-0.05	0.031	961	0.66	-0.12	0.027



ADJ. GROSS INCOME

		DJ. GROSS				
]	Consistency	Mean differer	i		
	N	∞efficient	(bias)	std err.		
ALL STUDENTS	1,370	0.83	255.86	503.320		
INSTITUTION TYPE						
Public 4-year	466	0.86	837.11	538.935		
Public LT 4-year	98	0.74	903.65	1912.840		
Private 4-year	532	0.80	-172.45	818.893		
Private LT 4-year	104	0.79	380.15	1287.100		
Proprietary 4-year	3	0.89	2,700.33			
Proprietary LT 4-year	167	0.81	-503.09	713.184		
ATTENDANCE STATUS						
Full-time	1,291	0.83	309.52	517.653		
Part-time	78	0.90	-799.81	1143.310		
DEPENDENCY STATUS						
Dependent student	1,370		255.86	503.320		
Independent student	(-	-			
GENDER		0.07	424.09	500 704		
Male	629	1	1			
Female	74	0.80	33.47	665.928		
RACE/ETHNICITY			4.264.01			
American Indian	1	1	-4,264.91	1		
Asian	5	- 1	72.24 -887.63	1		
Black	14	- 1	793.82			
Hispanic	8	- 1	420.00	1		
White	1,07	2 0.84	420.00	011.50		
PARENTS' INCOME			4,211.2	3 1275.79		
Lt \$12,000	23	- 1	1	1		
\$12,000 to 24,000		- I		- 1		
\$24,000 to 30,000	15	1		1		
\$30,000 to 50,000	45	-				
\$50,000 to 75,000	19	2 0.35		~i		
\$75,000 to 100,000 \$100,000 plus	1 -	5 -0.11	1 '	L		
STUDENTS' INCOME						
L: \$5,000			. 기	_		
\$5,000 to 10,000	ļ			-		
\$10,000 to 15,000			-	-		
\$15,000 to 20,000		-		-		
\$20,000 plus		-	-	-		
PARENTS' EDUCATION						
LT high school	1.	47 0.59		8 1043.33		
High school only	5	16 0.8	- 1			
Vocational school	1:	53 0.9	- (``f		
2-year degree	11	30 0.89		,		
4-year degree	li i	38 0.7				
Advanced degree	1	79 0.7	8 -375.	34 1186.37		



(continued)

ADT. GROSS INCOME.

		ADJ. GROSS INCOME									
		Consistency Mean difference									
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.						
AGE/ACADE!		ł									
First year	LT 18 ·	304	0.82	-1,249.44	483.358						
	19-23	222	1	743.59	848.698						
	24-29	15	1	1,813.53							
	30 plus	5	0.27	3,600.80							
Second year	LT 18	8	0.79	1,245.38							
•	19-23	287	0.82	1,097.16	1729.090						
	24-29	15	0.92	3,238.87							
	30 plus	1	-	-5,405.00							
Third year	LT 18	1	_	0.00							
,	19-23	192	0.89	-734.84	601.401						
ļ	24-29	9	0.95	-59.22							
	30 plus	d	-	-							
Fourth year	LT 18		-	_							
	19-23	136	0.72	1,789.89	1219.450						
	24-29	9	0.88	1,619.82	1						
	30 plus	1		-6,200.00							
Fifth year	LT 18	1. (.							
	19-23	2:	0.91	1,118.81							
1	24-29		0.99	-1,643.29							
	30 plus										
Graduate	LT 18		1 -		İ						
	19-23	4	0.92	-660.89	1090.290						
	24-29	1.	0.96	-3,643.00							
	30 plus	_	1 -	5.00	1						
PARENT OU	ESTIONNAIPE										
Mail		93	8 0.88	478.56	647.50						
Telephone		43	1	-227.69	1						

TUITION PARENT'S FEDERAL TAX

		TOTAL			PARENTS FEDERAL TAX				
	ł	Consistency	Mesa differen	ice	1	Consistency	Mean differe	ance	
	N	coefficient	(bias)	sid err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.	
			[j			{	
ALL STUDENTS	7,545	0.65	1,604.80	33.563	1,173	0.76	365.39	128.540	
					1				
INSTITUTION TYPE	0.05	0.40	4 000 00	60.000					
Public 4-year	3,254	0.42	1,093.27	68.838	380		309.36		
Public LT 4-year	807	0.16	592.44	52.893	86	0.45	933.28		
Private 4-year	2,521	0.46	2,864.67	264.998	461	0.74	380.25	l	
Private LT 4-year	462	0.48	1,583.19	320.466	95	0.83	231.59	ì	
Proprietary 4-year	14	0.46	2,060.71	1	4	0.96	293.50	•	
Proprietary LT 4-year	487	0.31	185.84	253.196	147	0.77	219.86	145.362	
ATTENDANCE STATUS				ļ				}	
Full-time	6,626	0.64	1,684.46	98.040	1,108	0.76	6,608.00	140.664	
Part-time	915	0.46	1,029.84	64.666	64		172.80	1	
DEBERTOEN CALVARIO				1				<u> </u>	
DEPENDENCY STATUS Dependent student	7 545	0.65	1	02 562	1 177	0.76	266.40	100 540	
Independent student	7,545	0.02	1,604.80	83.563	1,173	0.76	365.49	128.540	
Independent student	"	-	•	[-	-	Ì	
GENDER				İ	1				
Male	3,771	0.66	1,657.76	103.308	521	0.78	840.22	257.750	
Fcmale	3,774	0.63	1,548.81	71.937	652	0.76	274.92	102.497	
RACE/ETHNICITY	1								
American Indian	44	0.77	967.50	159.276	9	0.77	-223.78		
Asian	292	0.72	2,000.20	182.240	46	l .	733.46	3	
Black	398		945.75	141.401	122		476.97		
Hispanic	299	t	1,156.49	96.132	81		92.78	l	
White	6,512	0.65	1,652.24		915		361.94	1	
PARENTS' INCOME	1	1							
Li \$12,000	380	0.46	616.24	125.046	213	١.,.	150.01	256.54	
\$12,000 to 24,000	331		1,295.11	133.492	249		153.21		
\$24,000 to 30,000	ł		I -	l l	1	l .	709.51		
\$30,000 to 50,000	171	0.57	1,175.08	212.205	123		225.59	1	
1	502		1,549.83	148.794	370	1	81.87		
\$50,000 to 75,000 \$75,000 to 100,000	227	1	2,039.83	244.708	162		308.14	1	
\$15,000 to 100,000 \$100,000 plus	24 18		3,843.96 3,308.72		23	1	-1,959.83 8,031.79	i	
· •				1		0.05	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
STUDENTS' INCOME		1]	1	1	l			
La \$5,000		-	-			-		-}	
\$5,000 ю 10,000	-	-	∤ -1	ĺ		-		-\	
\$10,000 to 15,000	-	-	-		.	-			
\$15,000 to 20,000	-	-	-		} .	_	,	.]	
\$20,000 plus	-	-	-				·	·	
PARENTS' EDUCATION								ļ	
LT high school	437	0.56	790.38	94.278	122	0.80	43.12	519.219	
High school only	2,249	•	1,223.19	1	445		287.84	1	
Vocational school	658	ì	1,214.70		138		292.90	1	
2-year degree	783	1	1,428.23		102		186.43		
4-year degree	1,754	J	1,802.73		205	1	471.13		
Advanced degree	1,616		2,399.38	184.223	156		917.80		



(continued)

TUITION

PARENT'S FEDERAL TAX

19-23	TOTION PARENTS FEDERAL I								<u> </u>
AGE/ACADEMIC LEVEL First year LT 18		1	•		1		Consistency	Mean differe	not
First year LT 18		N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std err.
First year LT 18	ACE/ACADEMIC LEVEL				1			İ	
19-23			0.40		05.004	0.00	0.00	==.0.	200.120
24-29	1 7		i e	1 ' 1	1	į .	t		
Second year LT 18		1 '		1	1			1	1
Second year LT 18	i	1		1 5	440.802	!		1 '	1
19-23	30 plus	11	0.83	75.91		4	0.83	171.50	
24-29	Second year LT 18	42	0.61	2,643.36	543.254	8	0.86	-205.00	
Third year LT 18	19-23	1,715	0.66	1,573.12	84.587	247	0.78	464.42	314.529
Third year LT 18	24-29	69	0.76	892.36	160.011	12	0.97	803.17	
19-23	30 plus	6	0.61	1,359.17		0	-	-	
19-23	Third year LT 18	6	-0.22	-627.00		2	_	0.00	
24-29 74 0.71 1,763.66 324.836 8 0.90 22.88 30 plus 3 0.86 183.33 0 0		1		1	115.377		1	1	•
30 plus 3 0.86 183.33 0 0	24-29							1	1
19-23		4					-		
19-23 973 0.62 1,838.19 146.601 106 0.47 336.81 418. 24-29 67 0.48 1,619.82 428.781 8 0.87 423.25 30 plus 8 0.97 600.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fourth year 1 T 18		0.74	1 206 75				ł	
24-29	1	7			146 601	1	4	226 91	418.691
30 plus 8 0.97 600.00 0 - 0.00 1 - 0.00		1	ł	1 .		i		ł	
Fifth year LT 18 19-23 190 0.59 1,261.33 172.580 17 0.00 17 0.92 374.94 24-29 30 plus Graduate LT 18 19-23 214 0.70 3,015.68 24-29 30 plus 1		l.	1		430.701	}	0.87	423.25	
19-23	-						1		
24-29 58 0.50 570.91 228.611 5 0.99 -129.60 0 -	•)	1,141.33		1		0.00	
30 plus 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			h	1	172.580	1		374.94	
Graduate LT 18 19-23 214 0.70 3,015.68 786.642 24-29 30 plus 5 0.33 0.85 1,673.33 3,015.68 786.642 41 0.82 1,363.46 533 2,053.60 1 -412.08 1 -0.00		58	0.50	570.91	228.611	5	0.99	-129.60	
19-23 214 0.70 3,015.68 786.642 41 0.82 1,363.46 533. 24-29 81 0.77 1,923.07 489.221 12 0.96 -412.08 30 plus 5 0.33 2,053.60 1 0 0.00	30 plus	0	-			0		-	
19-23 214 0.70 3,015.68 786.642 41 0.82 1,363.46 533. 24-29 81 0.77 1,923.07 489.221 12 0.96 -412.08 30 plus 5 0.33 2,053.60 1 - 0.00	Graduate LT 18	3	0.85	1,673.33		1	_	0.00	
24-29 81 0.77 1,923.07 489.221 12 0.96 -412.08 30 plus 5 0.33 2,053.60 1 - 0.00	19-23	214	0.70	3,015.68	786.642	41	0.82	1	ì
30 plus 5 0.33 2,053.60 1 0.00	24-29	81	l	1 1		1	l	1 '	
PARENT OLIESTIONNAIRE	30 plus	5	0.33	1 '		1		ı	§
	PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE								1
1	1	4,725	0.68	1.398.69	85 853	826	0.70	364 80	139.082
1		1)	1 '		1	1	I .	253.210

ROOM AND BOARD TUITION Consistency Mean difference Consistency Mean difference coefficient coefficient (bias) Std. err. N (bias) std. err. N 30.581 -771.80 51.951 6,681 0.20 8,126 0.64 -1,427.25 LL STUDENTS ISTITUTION TYPE 37.827 -703.85 3,496 0.46 -1.113.63 39.179 2,876 0.16 Public 4-year 19,946 54.764 760 0.06 -66.91 825 0.27 -509.54 Public LT 4-year -1.301.41 79.160 C.49 -2.423.77143.235 2.163 0.17 2,759 Private 4-year 210.422 367 0.26 -813.47 0.58 -1.135.00 188,444 Private LT 4-year 472 -1,492.35 0.05 -494.88 16 20 0.28 Proprietary 4-year 80.36 44.946 499 -0.01 185.138 -56.84 554 0.32 Proprietary LT 4-year *ITENDANCE STATUS* -870.60 32.532 60.397 5,677 0.18 -1.514.07 7,067 0.63 Full-time 32.439 -846.62 70.940 1,001 0.17 -211.06 0.58 1.055 Part-time **EPENDENCY STATUS** -861.70 33.370 5,720 0.19 7,160 0.67 -1,471.66 52.841 Dependent student 31.855 0.18 -236.75 -1,098.14 88.414 961 Independent student 966 0.46 ENDER -1.552.80 77.038 3.344 0.18 -771.07 32.216 0.66 4,112 Male 38.908 -1,298.65 38.789 3,337 0.21 -772.55 4,014 0.62 Female ACE/ETHNICITY 132.515 0.09 -331.84 -750.22 157.624 37 American Indian 45 0.85 0.27 -1,067.04 106.086 -1.967.44 179,126 256 Acian 312 0.70 107.157 425 0.15 -316.25 57.902 -336.98 443 0.51 Black -274.38 66.717 105.455 282 0.08 -1,019.01 312 0.58 Hispanic -820.14 33.568 5,681 0.21 -1,494.59 54.528 White 7,014 0.65 ARENTS' INCOME 153.375 0.48 -649.33 127.024 315 0.12 -318.15 345 Li \$12,000 126,334 0.13 -833.53 131.978 **048** -1.488.61 279 318 \$12,000 to 24,000 148.254 -1.119.83 189,965 132 0.15 -770.27 161 0.61 \$24,000 to 30,000 95.274 383 0.17 -1,027.41 88.468 0.60 -1.648.47 \$30,000 to 50,000 486 160 0.09 -1,800.83 236.507 -1,822.72 183.239 0.50 \$50,000 to 75,000 214 18 0.47 -1,425.67 -2,377.61 \$75,000 to 100,000 23 0.51 -2,097.93 14 0.12 -2,215.17 18 0.66 \$100,000 plus TUDENTS' INCOME -415.65 91.326 0.49 -1,182.94 191.674 220 0.29 218 LT \$5,000 -243.80 74.086 213.992 194 0.14 176 0.40 -825.87 \$5,000 to 10,000 -43.80 104.389 -1,453.48 250,355 69 -0.02 \$10,000 to 15,000 61 0.76 92.06 20.039 298.013 48 -0.08 \$15,000 to 20,000 43 0.47 -419.65 32 0.12 -177.94 144.356 467.550 34 0.42 -1.592.91\$20,000 plus 'ARENTS' EDUCATION 96.386 479 0.09 -197.28 45.265 504 0.55 -636.48 LT high school 31.073 2.085 0.16 -490.64 54.511 2,435 0.60 -1,148.83 High school only 83.923 76.078 0.11 -498.32 615 732 0.56 -1,066.26 Vocational school 717 0.22 -763.88 59.286 0.61 -1,291.15 78.519 864 2-year degree 49.689 1,433 0.27 -1.035.81 71.589 1,837 0.63 -1.633.744-year degree 1,305 0.17 -1,284.61 111.927 111.927 1,704 0.68 -2,072.93 Advanced degree



(continued)

TUITION

ROOM AND BOARD

			TUITI	<u>ON</u>		ROOM AND BOARD				
		İ	Consistency Mean difference			Consistency Mean difference				
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
AGE/ACADE	MIC LEVEL									
First year	LT 18	1,299	0.66	-1,265.47	53.562	1,012	0.20	-1,197.58	69.876	
1 11 21 7 2111	19-23	1,043	0.60	-773.69	77.552	876	0.31	-520.77	40.599	
	24-29	91	0.69	-423.78	209.113	96	0.19	-350.77	277.777	
	30 plus	25	0.93	-330.48		25	-0.04	-126.80		
Second year	r I.T 18	41	0.64	-2,070.78	392.842	34	0.27	-1,431.18	342.907	
,	19-23	1,724	0.66	-1,357.72	79.346	1,420	0.18	-978.77	46.794	
	24-29	90	0.61	-783.97	183.103	91	0.09	-96.43	37.995	
	30 plus	17	0.65	305.53	100.100	12	-	33.00		
Third year	LT 18	6	0.20	1,303,33		6		35.00		
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	19-23	1,241	0.64	-1,474.70	69.853	990	0.24	-783.70	54.001	
	24-29	101	0.64	-1,505.44	168.452	104	0.01	-198.32	78.180	
	30 plus	10	0.95	-533.00		11	-0.10	20.00		
Fourth year	· LT 18	8	0.72	-893.75		6	-	186.33		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	19-23	1,040	0.67	-1,594.75	100.187	824	0.17	-918.13	76.944	
	24-29	87	0.55	-1,387.24	286.914	95	0.17	-136.15	126.350	
	30 plus	16	,	-1,481.69		14	0.14	67.50	i i	
Fifth year	LT 18	2	1.00	-597.50		3		40.00		
	19-23	209	0.38	-1,091.81	237.820	170	0.18	-290.01	88.084	
	24-29	71		-807.79	165.584	57		-162.02	122.518	
	30 plus	4	0.75	-103.75		3	4	5.00		
Graduate	LT 18	3	0.10	65.00		3		350.00	<u> </u>	
	19-23	247	0.68	-3,147.97	442,466	209	0.12	-579.91	235.815	
ļ	24-29	134		-1,981.56		117	0.13	-519.91	244.781	
	30 plus	13	0.76	-2,334.31		14	-	86.79	1	
STUDENT O	UESTIONNAIRE									
Mail		4,458	0.68	-1,454.44	59.799	3,430	0.26	-622.86	37.002	
Telephone		3,668		-1,394.21		3,251		-928.95	4	
PARENT OU	ESTIONNAIRE									
Mail		5,099	0.69	-1,149.23	62.428	3,900	0.22	-840.68	37.999	
Telephone		3,027	1	-1,895.60		2,781		-675.21		

EVER APPLY FOR AID

PARENT LOANS TO STUDENT

	ı k	VER APPLY			PARENT LOANS TO STUDENT			
		Consistency	Mean differen	ice		Consistency	Mean differer	ксе
	N _	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
]			
ALL STUDENTS	11,121	0.41	-0.22	0.012	7,400	0.17	-248.67	28.479
			! !	ļ				
INSTITUTION TYPE			1 1	1]]	
Public 4-year	4,679	0.43	-0.19	0.012	3,281	0.19	-192.48	27.706
Public LT 4-year	1,236	0.39	-0.29	0.027	688	0.17	-227.71	52.447
Private 4-year	3,547	0.43	-0.20	0.017	2,513	0.16	-320.14	78.938
Private LT 4-year	650	0.35	-0.25	0.090	432	0.10	-304.81	120.593
Proprietary 4-year	24	0.49	-0.42	I	13	-0.17	-1,566.00	
Proprietary LT 4-year	985	0.24	-0.34	0.037	473	0.24	-201.76	78.452
ATTENDANCE STATUS								
Full-time	9,204	0.43	-0.19	0.010	6,578	0.16	-264.32	31.743
	1,911	0.43	-0.19	0.016	818	0.10	-124.69	58.572
Part-time	1,911	0.33	-0.38	0.026	918	0.32	-124.09	38.372
DEPENDENCY STATUS								
Dependent student	8,783		-0.14	0.008	6,849	I .	-259.26	29.183
Independent student	2,338	0.33	-0.52	0.031	551	0.25	-117.11	62.086
GENDER								
Male	5,460	0.43	-0.21	0.011	3,695	0.22	172.69	35.600
Female	5,661	0.39	-0.23	0.016	3,705	1	-324.45	38.296
1 CHAR	3,001	0.59	٧.2	0.010	3,703	0.12	-524.45	30.290
RACE/ETHNICITY								
American Indian	68	;	-0.34	0.126	40	1	41.25	315.626
Asian	390	0.35	-0.29	0.056	273	1	-627.60	175.584
Black	819	0.19	-0.30	0.044	385	0.28	-318.04	47.816
Hispanic	476	0.38	-0.21	0.046	272	1	-340.58	102.010
White	9,368	0.43	-0.21	0.011	6,430	0.17	-226.35	32.262
PARENTS' INCOME	<u> </u>							
Lt \$12,000	571	0.12	-0.15	0.036	221	0.01	-162.70	106.320
\$12,000 to 24,000	431		-0.12	0.031	273	Į.	-120.92	
\$24,000 to 30,000	199		-0.06	0.031	150		-179.11	ľ
\$30,000 to 50,000	551	0.13	-0.05	0.011	463		-350.00	
\$50,000 to 75,000	352	l.	-0.06	0.035	218		-336.47	ſ
\$75,000 to 100,000	28	1	-0.11	0.055	25	6	-590.00	
\$100,000 plus	19	i .	-0.11		18	1	-2,450.00	i
	1							
STUDENTS' INCOME					1			
LT \$5,000	471	1	-0.32		140		-358.04	
\$5,000 to 10,000	396	I .	-0.36		112	1	1.66	
\$10,000 to 15,000	161		-0.43		32	I .	-222.75	
\$15,000 to 20,000	91	li .	-0.52		21	1	-1,190.48	
\$20,000 plus	103	0.03	-0.76	0.118	26	-0.03	-760.00	
PARENTS' EDUCATION	1		1]
LT high school	869	0.31	-0.25	0.034	370	0.08	-264.82	81.999
High school only	3,374	l .	-0.15	: :	2,093	1	-261.38	
Vocational school	962		-0.13		635	1	-306.10	J
2-year degree	1,108	1	-0.15		795		-387.62	
4-year degree	2,204	•	-0.13		1,782		-260.85	1
Advanced degree	2,012		-0.11		1,680	1	-129.51	1



(continued)

EVER APPLY FOR AID

PARENT LOANS TO STUDENT

				ce Consistency Mean difference					
1		1	Consistency	Mean differen			•		
		N N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
		1 1			!	j			ł
AGE/ACADEM									
	LT 18	1,516		-0.16	0.021	1,285	0.06	-366.67	54.841
	19-23	1,513	0.37	-0.24	0.025	892	0.18	-255.37	51.866
í	24-29	202	0.35	-0.49	0.109	60	0.28	-99.70	307.303
3	30 plus	83	0.24	-0.87	0.076	13	-0.14	-18.23	
Second year l	LT 18	50	0.53	-0.02	0.099	43	-0.04	-638.60	355.971
!	19-23	2,119	0.48	-0.16	0.023	1,630	0.10	-282.81	54.352
1	24-29	190	0.21	-0.37	0.078	68	0.20	-238.01	267.363
	30 plus	50	0.14	-0.96	0.231	7	-	-114.30	j
Third year	LT 18	8	0.75	0.13		4		100.00	
,	19-23	1,537	0.53	-0.13	0.016	1,138	0.11	-310.43	57,910
:	24-29	180	0.26	-0.45	0.075	66	0.07	-871.76	333,379
:	30 plus	45	0.19	-0.91	0.169	2	-	-200.00	
Fourth year 1	LT 18	و	0.80	-0.22		6		-833.33	
	19-23	1,318	0.47	-0.14	0.021	1,016	0.14	-100.18	60.075
;	24-29	154	0.30	-0.34	0.072	74	0.41	93.34	203.116
:	30 plus	48	0.37	-0.73	0.211	10	0.02	-1,062.00	
Fifth year	LT 18	4	0.58	0.25		3	_	666.67	
	19-23	292		-0.21	0.035	193	0.17	-259.24	101.239
	24-29	102	0.35	-0.28	0.106	54	-0.11	54.28	156.391
1	30 plus	12		-0.42		2	-	-750.00	
Graduate	LT 18	3	1.00	-0.33		2		250.00	1
1	19-23	339	0.37	-0.10	0.051	225	0.17	96.83	186.875
	24-29	230		-0.29	0.053	88	0.17	507.95	•
į.	30 plus	56		-1.02	0.176	9	-	-641.67	200.131
STUDENT OU	ESTIONNAIRE								
Mail		6,090	0.42	-0.20	0.014	3,964	0.20	-257.66	39.473
Telephone		5,031	0.40	-0.24	0.014	3,436	l	-237.66	39.473
PARENT QUE	STIONNAIRE]]					j
Mail	CHOMMAN	6,137	0.46	-0.21	0.013	4,307	0.17	-425.84	43.084
Telephone		4,984	1	-0.23	0.013	3,093	0.17	-423.84	43.084 30.764

PARENT'S CONTRIBUTION AMT OF PARENT'S CONTRIBUTION Censistency Mean difference Consistency Mean difference N coefficient (bias) std. err. N coefficient (bias) std. err. ALL STUDENTS 11,121 0.015 930.09 0.56 -0.73 7.406 0.54 58.450 INSTITUTION TYPE Public 4-year 4.679 0.57 0.016 81.477 -0.67 3,283 0.43 875.14 Public LT 4-year 1.236 0.50 -0.94 0.032 688 0.32 145.523 1,129.00 Private 4-year 3.547 0.59 -0.63 0.030 2.517 0.49 955.39 171.122 Private LT 4-year 650 0.51 -0.74 0.138 432 0.47 1.041.63 393.359 Proprietary 4-year 24 0.44 -1.04 13 0.18 160.31 Proprietary LT 4-year 985 0.48 -1.11 0.040 473 0.52 806.88 161.311 ATTENDANCE STATUS Full-time 9,204 0.54 -0.62 0.013 6.584 0.54 973.90 61.821 Part-time 1,911 0.55 0.027 -1.24 818 0.44 588.74 160.262 DEPENDENCY STATUS Dependent student 8,783 0.46 -0.49 0.014 6,854 0.54 1.091.58 61.433 Independent student 2,338 0.34 -1.61 0.013 552 0.34 -1,074.98 138.793 GENDER Male 5,460 0.54 -0.72 0.017 3,700 0.61 805.16 93.824 Female 5,661 0.59 -0.74 0.019 3,706 0.48 1,054.81 82.841 RACE/ETHNICITY American Indian 0.37 68 -0.87 0.170 40 0.78 2,513.15 741.585 Asian 390 0.055 0.55 -0.62 273 0.67 1,151.62 351.736 Rlack 819 0.43 -1.09 0.051 385 0.42 793.49 235.259 Hispanic 476 0.51 -0.90 0.048 272 0.55 974.10 330.461 White 9,368 0.58 -0.69 0.016 6,436 0.53 917.17 58.420 PARENTS' INCOME Lt \$12,000 571 0.29 -1.20 0.048 221 0.57 117.26 162.551 \$12,000 to 24,000 431 0.42 -0.80 0.044 273 0.38 717.25 352.988 \$24,000 to 30,000 199 0.48 -0.55 0.074 150 0.49 730.07 301.453 \$30,000 to 50,000 351 0.34 -0.40 0.040 463 0.56 811.36 185.928 \$50,000 to 75,000 241 0.40 219 -0.26 0.057 0.56 273.21 381.309 \$75,000 to 100,000 28 -0.21 0.25 25 0.73 1,235.60 \$100,000 plus 19 18 0.69 -0.16 0.79 862.22 STUDENTS' INCOME LT \$5,000 471 0.21 0.032 -1.52 141 0.25 -1,046.28 236.407 \$5,000 to 10,000 396 0.32 0.047 -1.56 112 0.42 -683.33 203.773 \$10,000 to 15,000 141 -1,198.50 0.44 -1.68 0.045 32 0.38 313.446 \$15,000 to 20,000 91 0.20 -1.62 0.058 21 0.36 -1,239.52 \$20,000 plus 103 0.33 -1.60 0.066 26 0.29 -2,543.88 PARENTS' EDUCATION LT high school 869 0.49 370 -1.19 0.034 0.55 939.95 175.076 High school only 3,374 0.021 0.54 -0.82 2,095 0.52 920.86 114.860 962 **-**↑.74 Vocational school 0.51 0.037 635 0.49 884.41 176.392 1,108 2-year degree 0.47 -0.64 0.034 797 0.39 692.96 210.855 4-year degree 2,204 0.49 -0.45 0.027 1,783 0.59 1,036.85 150.200

ERIC

0.020

1,681

0.55

946.31

131.797

-0.42

2,012

0.50

Advanced degree

(continued)

	PA	RENT'S CO!	TRIBUTIO	N	AMTO	F PARENT'S	CONTRIB	JTION
		Consistency	Mean differe	nce		Consistency	Mean differen	ıce
	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.
			1					
AGE/ACADEMIC LEVEL								
First year LT 18	1,516	0.32	-0.33	0.022	1,286	0.59	1,388.16	
19-23	1,513	0.53	-0.88	0.036	892	0.53	990.47	156.136
24-29	202	0.35	-1.51	0.065	60	0.71	-410.05	335.030
30 plus	83	0.46	-1.65	0.083	13	0.52	941.92	
Second year LT 18	50	0.26	-0.32	0.100	43	0.54	1,872.60	555.119
19-23	2,119	0.52	-0.52	0.023	1,630	0.59	1,092.35	136.337
24-29	190	0.51	-1.37	0.084	68	0.72	839.49	1127.360
30 plus	50	0.52	-1.78	0.150	7	0.87	-304.43	
Third year LT 18	8	0.26	-0.88	}	4	-0.43	1,487.50	
19-23	1,537	ĺ	-0.56		1,138	l	1,047.96	153.284
24-29	180	l	-1.31	0.070	67	0.49	-499.76	
30 plus	45	-0.05	-1.91	0.041	2	1.00	-550.00	
Fourth year LT 18	9	0.50	-0.44	} }	6	0.81	3,100.00	
19-23	1,318	0.48	-0.52	, ,	1.017	1	1,205.76	
24-29	154		-1.12		74	_	-228.68	452.842
30 plus	48		-1.67		10		-870.00	B
Fifth year LT 18	4	0.58	-0.75		3	0.86	133.33	
19-23	292	1	-0.74	1 1	193		142.00	420.109
24-29	102	B.	-1.08	1 1	54		456.31	538.987
30 plus	12		-1.75	1 1	2	1	4,250.00	1
Graduate LT 18	3	0.50	1		1 .	100	1 250 00] }
19-23	339	1	-1.00	t i	2	1	1,350.00	1
19-23 24-29	230	3	-81.00	1 !	226 88	1	828.62	
24-29 30 plus	56	i e	-1.32 -1.73	1 1	88		-803.18 287.22	
			•			į		
STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE								
Mail	6,090		-0.75		3,967	1	1,128.33	1
Telephone	5,031	0.50	-0.70	0.019	3,439	0.49	701.42	107.25
PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE								
Mail	6,137	ŧ	-0.64	1 1	4,312		1,184.41	
Telephone	4,984	0.51	-0.84	0.020	3,094	0.48	575.67	83.50

MOTHER'S EDUCATION **FATHER'S EDUCATION** Consistency Mean difference Consistency Mean difference N N std. err. coefficient (bias) std. err. coefficient (bias) 10.130 9.108 -0.37 0.047 ALL STUDENTS 0.63 0.44 0.036 0.69 INSTITUTION TYPE 3,957 0.040 4,327 0.65 0.33 0.029 0.69 -0.44 Public 4-year 0.051 1,107 0.49 0.61 0.55 -0.24 Public LT 4-year 0.115 963 3,236 0.67 0.39 0.049 2.991 0.71 -0.45 0.139 Private 4-year 585 Private LT 4-year 0.57 0.52 0.241 524 0.65 -0.120.139 0.68 0.33 0.19 0.83 Proprietary 4-year 21 18 655 0.108 Proprietary LT 4-year 0.160 -0.01 854 0.39 0.91 0.51 ATTENDANCE STATUS 8,545 0.64 0.44 0.035 7.710 0.70 -0.40 0.038 Full-time 1,579 -0.20 O 54 0.43 0.096 1,393 0.62 0.132 Part-time DEPENDENCY STATUS 0.64 0.47 0.039 7.555 0.70 -0.42 0.050 8.336 Dependent student 1,794 0.58 0.30 0.092 1,553 0.64 -0.15 0.114 Independent student GENDER 0.50 0.051 5.008 0.62 4.586 0.68 -0.45 0.055 Male 5.122 0.64 0.38 0.053 4.522 0.70 -0.29 0.070 Female RACE/ETHNICITY 0.45 51 0.46 0.33 0.728 American Indian 62 1.16 0.611 353 0.66 0.292 341 0.289 Asian 0.47 0.63 -0.46 719 0.96 0.234 428 0.52 0.58 0.198 Black 0.44 440 0.52 0.50 0.280 356 0.37 0.222 Hispanic 0.12 White 8,556 0.65 0.39 0.041 7,932 0.70 -0.45 0.043 PARENTS' INCOME Lt \$12,000 521 0.38 0.312 0.55 0.02 0.254 1.28 269 \$12,000 to 24,000 404 0.50 0.231 293 0.53 0.11 0.249 0.42 \$24,000 to 30,000 184 0.62 0.46 0.281 164 0.64 -0.31 0.302 \$30,000 to 50,000 530 0.65 0.14 0.163 515 0.67 -0.26 0.145 \$50,000 to 75,000 232 0.65 0.50 0.143 233 0.65 -0.51 0.164 \$75,000 to 100,000 28 0.65 0.86 27 0.54 -1.22 \$100,000 plus 19 0.95 0.11 19 0.70 -0.74 STUDENTS' INCOME LT \$5,000 404 0.60 0.19 0.167 351 0.58 0.25 0.180 \$5,000 to 10,000 344 0.58 0.43 0.137 299 -0.26 0.230 0.66 \$10,000 to 15,000 136 0.66 0.18 0.306 117 -0.07 0.206 0.69 \$15,000 to 20,000 72 0.43 0.22 0.673 0.70 -0.74 0.730 65 \$20,000 plus 76 0.52 0.57 0.318 70 -1.00 0.466 0.57 PARENTS' EDUCATION 816 0.14 1.56 0.186 634 0.18 0.85 0.237 LT high school High school only 3,257 0.33 0.079 2,809 -0.24 0.073 0.44 0.46 Vocational school 925 0.31 0.46 0.125 789 0.43 -0.27 0.121 2-year degree 1,066 0.31 -0.28 0.121 951 0.33 -0.62 0.130 4-year degree 2,132 0.45 0.36 0.070 2,030 0.29 -0.49 0.074 1,928 -0.76 Advanced degree 0.45 0.44 0.076 1,885 0.24 0.086

ERIC Full Tax to Provided by ERIC

(continued)

MOTHER'S EDUCATION **FATHER'S EDUCATION** Mean difference Consistency Consistency Mean difference N coefficient (bias) sid. err. N coefficient (bias) std. err. AGE/ACADEMIC LEVEL First year LT 18 1,446 0.62 0.50 0.092 1,319 0.67 -0.43 0.134 19-23 1,378 0.52 0.67 0.121 1,161 0.62 -0.20 0.119 24-29 0.50 165 0.84 0.300 127 0.61 0.05 0.480 30 plus 0.30 48 0.69 0.553 32 0.59 0.649 -0.09 Second year LT 18 0.72 49 0.33 0.436 40 0.81 -0.43 0.456 19-23 1,989 0.63 0.46 0.084 1,822 0.66 -0.46 0.119 24-29 0.56 0.75 154 0.439 135 0.65 -0.25 0.408 30 plus 24 0.57 -0.50 17 0.91 0.59 Third year LT 18 0.09 1.50 0.61 1.75 19-23 1,453 0.68 0.083 0.42 1,315 0.71 -0.41 0.070 24-29 0.59 0.75 146 0.244 133 0.59 -0.80 0.392 30 plus 21 0.62 0.52 15 0.98 -0.33 Fourth year LT 18 0.84 0.22 7 0.96 0.71 19-23 1.254 0.64 0.42 1,170 0.083 0.70 -0.38 0.080 24-29 139 0.53 0.06 0.288 116 0.54 -0.83 0.401 30 plus 30 0.39 -0.03 0.791 29 0.77 -0.76 Fifth year LT 18 3.33 3 1.00 0.00 19-23 278 0.68 -0.06 0.127 258 0.76 -0.15 0.227 24-29 88 0.72 0.10 0.204 87 0.83 -0.23 0.210 30 plus 0.45 -0.86 0.42 -0.88 LT 18 Graduate 0.97 -0.67 3 -0.36 1.33 19-23 320 0.73 0.27 0.142 295 0.78 -0.52 0.124 24-29 198 0.68 0.42 0.264 180 0.69 -0.61 0.252 30 plus 31 0.59 0.68 0.667 25 0.62 0.36 STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE Mail 5,537 0.80 0.02 0.029 5,014 0.85 0.07 0.052 Telephone 4,593 0.46 0.95 0.091 4,094 0.50 -0.91 0.081 PARENT QUESTIONNAIRE Mail 5,715 93.0 0.32 0.033 5,318 0.72 -0.390.043 Telephone

4,415

0.56

0.59

0.075

<u>3,</u>790

0.63

-0.35

0.094

CLAIM AS EXEMPTION NUMBER OF WEEKS AT HOME Consistency Mean difference Consistency Mean difference coefficient Ν coefficient (biss) डाते. व्याः (bias) std. crr. 11,121 -0.56 0.082 **ALL STUDENTS** 5,461 0.87 0.82 0.459 0.36 INSTITUTION TYPE 2,471 0.93 0.435 4.679 0.34 -0.34 0.067 0.88 Public 4-year 1,236 0.82 0.05 1.229 0.35 -0.92 0.163 573 Public LT 4-year 1,809 0.86 1.54 0.507 3,547 0.41 -0.50 0.143 Private 4-year 0.407 0.82 -0.81 9.895 650 0.30 -0.46 223 Private LT 4-year 0.91 4.88 -0.17 -1.54 Proprietary 4-year 24 -1.40 0.281 Proprietary LT 4-year 377 0.84 1.367 985 0.29 -1.44 ATTENDANCE STATUS 4,605 0.87 0.347 9,204 0.29 -0.25 0.073 Full-time 1.08 0.197 0.89 -0.61 1.267 1,911 0.44 -2.04 Part-time 856 DEPENDENCY STATUS Dependent student 4,563 0.85 1.85 0.468 8,783 0.21 0.07 0.069 1.025 2,338 0.36 -2.94 0.276 Independent student 898 0.66 -4.44 GENDER Male 2,602 0.88 0.18 0.464 5,460 0.34 -0.31 0.096 Female 2,859 0.86 1.40 0.765 5,661 0.38 -0.80 0.112 RACE/ETHNICITY 0.42 -0.40 0.608 American Indian 42 0.79 -3.74 12.174 68 390 0.28 0.302 Asian 234 0.88 2.36 1.630 0.38 Black 299 0.71 -1.06 2.293 819 0.39 -1.02 0.386 -0.19 1.998 -0.30 0.321 Hispanic 281 0.83 476 0.44 9,368 0.088 White 4,605 0.88 0.96 0.439 0.35 -0.57 PARENTS' INCOME Li \$12,000 275 0.82 3.84 1.370 571 0.18 -0.57 0.320 0.209 0.86 2.099 0.32 \$12,000 to 24,000 222 0.79 431 -0.381.69 0.984 0.158 199 0.18 0.92 -0.11 \$24,000 to 30,000 98 -0.78 1.025 551 0.16 0.03 0.115 \$30,000 to 50,000 283 0.89 2.54 0.10 -0.07 0.290 0.91 1.138 241 \$50,000 to 75,000 110 3,92 0.96 28 0.07 0.43 \$75,000 to 100,000 13 -7 00 0.58 19 0.36 \$100,000 plus 0.93 STUDENTS' INCOME -5.42 -1.08 0.58 2.453 471 0.37 0.323 LT \$5,000 214 1.994 0.503 \$5,000 to 10,000 -4.62396 -0.01 -1.72179 0.62 -3.38 0.795 0.71 1.495 161 0.39 -2.01 \$10,000 to 15,000 65 -3.32 -2.80 0.152 \$15,000 to 20,000 38 0.73 5.734 91 0.18 -1.74 103 0.118 0.96 1.164 0.24 -4.36 \$20,000 plus 34 PARENTS' EDUCATION LT high school 426 0.84 -1.50 1.486 869 0.14 -0.02 0.166 0.79 0.26 0.14 0.059 High school only 1,717 9.87 0.829 3,374 0.18 0.53 1.308 0.17 0.109 0.90 962 Vocational school 488 0.29 1.85 1.277 1.108 0.13 0.174 0.83 2-year degree 536 1.73 1.003 2,204 0.17 0.30 0.081 1,166 0.87 4-year degree 1,095 0 65 0.087 0.86 0.51 0.821 2,012 0.15 Advanced degree





(continued)

NUMBER OF WEEKS AT HOME CLAIM AS EXEMPTION

		TONE	Consistency	Mean differen		Consistency Mean difference			-nce	
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	N	coefficient			
			COCITICIENT	(0,43)	Sw. ar.	-	Coefficient	(bias)	std. erτ.	
AGE/ACADEN	MIC LEVEL				1					
	LT 18	714	0.45	5.94	1.357	1,516	0.16	0.58	0.148	
•	19-23	631	0.81	1.29	1.533	1,513	0.22	-0.56	0.189	
	24-29	77	0.87	-2.77	2.324	202	0.28	-2.31	1.027	
	30 plus	20	0.78	-10.30		83	0.50	-5.53	1.521	
Second year LT 18		21	0.89	1.19		50	0.32	0.22	0.349	
	19-23	1,059	0.82	2.50	0.908	2,119	0.20	0.06	0.141	
	24-29	68	0.84	-2.49	2.117	190	0.18	-2.01	0.638	
	30 plus	8	1.00	1.38		50	0.34	-8.60	2.114	
Third year	LT 18	2	-	0.00	ļ	8	0.67	-0.38		
ĺ	19-23	811	0.85	-0.32	0.947	1,537	0.21	-0.09	0.131	
	24-29	67	0.91	-2.96	2.795	180	0.25	-2.04	0.804	
	30 plus	17	0.99	1.24		45	0.32	-8.24	1.877	
Fourth year	LT 18	1	-	0.00		9	0.28	0.44		
	19-23	667	0.87	-0.97	0.740	1,318	0.31	-0.28	0.139	
l	24-29	62	0.89	-4.26	2.480	154	0.46	-1.06	0.480	
	30 plus	9	0.94	-4.00		48	0.47	-3.63	1.752	
Fifth year	LT 18	4	1.00	-1.14		4	0.26	0.25		
l	19-23	226	0.86	-3.23	1.133	292	0.45	0.08	0.267	
	24-29	76	0.93	-3.86	1.699	102	0.41	-0.56	0.523	
	30 plus	5	1.00	10.80		12	0.35	-4.17		
Graduate	LT 18	2	1.00	-6.00	ļ	3	1.00	1.00		
	19-23	22	0.86	0.12	1.453	339	0.15	-0.40	0.444	
	24-29	121	0.78	-0.02	3.115	230	0.11	-1.36	0.505	
	30 plus	16	0.96	-4.25		56	0.37	-6.73	2.037	
STUDENT QU	JESTIONNAIRE			ļ)			
Mail		5,461	0.87	0.82	0.459	6,090	0.42	-0.23	0.095	
Telephone		0	-			5,031	0.28	-0.96	0.130	
PARENT QUE	ESTIONNAIRE	1								
Mail		3,660	0.89	0.53	0.520	6,137	0.40	-0.08	0.103	
Telephone		1,801	0.83	1.40	0.799	4,984	0.33	-1.15	0.152	

PARENTS INCOME (CATEGORICAL)

	1	13 INCOME		
	N	Consistency coefficient	Mean differen (bias)	std. err.
	7.000	0.70	0.04	0.020
ALL STUDENTS	7,082	0.72	0.04	0.020
INSTITUTION TYPE				
Public 4-year	3,113	0.70	0.03	0.019
Public LT 4-year	732	1	0.12	0.062
Private 4-year	2,292	1	0.01	0.019
Private LT 4-year	404	i .	0.05	0.057
Proprietary 4-year	14	1	0.29	
Proprietary LT 4-year	527	0.70	0.23	0.068
ATTENDANCE STATUS				
Full-time	6,061	0.73	0.05	0.017
Part-time	1,016	0.65	0.00	0.058
DEPENDENCY STATUS	ł			
Dependent student	5,975	0.73	0.02	0.020
Independent student	1,107	0.61	0.16	0.06
GENDER				
Male	3,60	4 0.72	0.01	
Female	3,47	0.72	0.07	0.02
RACE/ETHNICITY				
American Indian	3	4 0.86	0.35	
Asian	23	·	0.08	L
Black	42	0.73	0.05	1
Hispanic	29	8 0.79	0.00	1
White	6,09	1 0.69	0.04	0.02
PARENTS' INCOME				
<\$12,000	25	5 0.61	0.12	
12K to 24K	30	2 0.48	0.04	ì
24K to 30K	15	6 0.59	0.39	
30K to 50K	45	9 0.44	0.00	i i
50K to 75K	18	0.25	0.0	1
75K to 100K	1	7 0.77	0.13	
100K plus	1 1	0.78	0.0	0
STUDENTS' INCOME				
LT 5K	i	70 0.65	1	4
5K to 10K	22	t t		
10K to 15K	1	0.58		1
15K to 20K		0.51		
20K plus	4	15 0.52	0.0	4 0.2
PARENTS' EDUCATION				
LT high school		52 0.69		
High school only	2,1			
Vocational school	1	56 0.69	1	
2-year degree	1	54 0.64	1	1
4-year degree	1,5			
Advanced degree	1,4	98 0.50	0.0	0.0



(continued)

PARENTS INCOME (CATEGORICAL)

		PAREN	PARENTS INCOME (CATEGORICAL)			
		Consistency Mean difference				
		N	coefficient	(bias)	std. err.	
AGE/ACADE	MIC LEVEL]				
First year	LT 18	1,051	0.76	0.06	0.054	
This you	19-23	918	0.72	0.10	0.072	
	24-29	87	0.68	0.14	0.180	
	30 plus	17	0.84	0.14	0.160	
Second year LT 18		39	0.84	0.23	0.257	
	19-23	1,448	0.72	0.05	0.043	
	24-29	92	0.62	0.03	0.210	
	30 plus	8	0.59	0.13	5.210	
Third year	LT 18	6	0.68	1.00		
_	19-23	1,078	0.70	0.02	0.035	
	24-29	98	0.78	0.07	0.153	
	30 plus	9	0.59	0.44		
Fourth year	· LT 18	7	0.66	0.43		
·	19-23	912	0.68	0.01	0.047	
	24-29	88	0.73	0.05	0.105	
	30 plus	15	0.81	0.07		
Fifth year	LT 18	0	ļ			
	19-23	202	0.69	0.03	0.089	
	24-29	72	0.51	0.19	0.182	
	30 plus	4	0.90	0.25		
Graduate	LT 18	2	1.00	0.50		
	19-23	231	0.68	0.05	0.105	
	24-29	123	0.63	0.12	0.163	
	30 plus	14	0.69	0.29		
STUDENT Q	UESTIONNAIRE					
Mail		3,849	0.73	0.07	0.027	
Telephone		3,233	0.71	0.01	0.032	
PARENT QU	ESTIONNAIRE					
Mail		4,057	0.75	0.04	0.027	
Telephone		3,025	0.68	0.04	0.030	

Appendix D

Data Items With Differences in Wording Between Respondents

Student-Institution Records

Tuition and fees

Institution: What were the total tuition and required fees charged prior to any

discounts or allowances (for the fall term or program specific charges,

whichever is appropriate)?

Student: How much did you pay to the school you were attending? For tuition?

For fees?

Receive federal aid

Institution: Program-by-program specific questions. For example, Pell Grants.

Student: Source of award by type of award. For example, Grants/Scholarships-

Federal.

Amount of federal aid

Institution: Program-by-program amounts and length of award in months.

Student: Amount of award by source of award by type of award.

Amounts for entire school year.

Parents' income

Institution: Parent's IRS adjusted gross income

Student: In studies such as this, families sometimes are divided into

groups according to how much money they make in a year. Please indicate the group which comes closest to the amount of TOTAL family income your parents/guardians make or made in

a year.

\$10,999 or less

\$10,000 - 16,999

\$17,000 - 22,999

\$23,000 - 29,999

\$30,000 - 49,999

\$50,000 or more

Don't know



Number of dependents

Institution: Total number of exemptions

Student: How many dependents do you have for whom you provide at

least half of their support, excluding yourself?

Savings (net assets)

Institution: Student's (and spouse's) cash, savings, checking account.

Student's (and spouses's) assets (current worth less amount owed)--Home equity, other real estate and investments, and

business/farm.

Student: At the end of 1986, what were your and your spouse's total

assets (fair market value) including savings, checking accounts, cash, stocks, home, business, farm, etc.? What was your and your spouse's total debt, excluding educational loans (including

debts on house, other real estate, farm, or business)?

Parents-Institution

Number of dependents

Institution: Total number in household in 1986-87?

Parents: How many people will you and your spouse support between

September 1987 and May 1987? Include yourself, your spouse, other children, and any others receiving more than half their

support during that period from you and your spouse.

Number in colleges enrolled half-time

Institution: Total number in household in college at least half-time in 1986-

87?

Parents: Of these, how many have been or will be enrolled in

postsecondary school (in college, university, trade or business school) at any time during September 1986 through May 1987? Please include your spouse, if applicable, but do not include yourself. Will you be in postsecondary school at any point during the period? Of the total number of persons in your family who were attending a postsecondary school during this period (including yourself, but not your child in this survey), how many took more than one course a term or attended for

more than 20 hours per week?



Tuition and fees

Institution: What were the total tuition and fees charged prior to any

discounts or allowances (for the fall term or program-specific

charges, whichever is appropriate)?

Parents: How much was paid to the school for tuition and fees from

September 1986 through May 1987?

Parents' taxable income

Institution: Income earned from work--father, mother.

Parents: What was you and your spouse's 1985 taxable federal income?

Parents-Students

Parents' income

Parents: In calendar year 1986, what was you and your spouse's total

income (before withholding) from all jobs?

Student: In studies such as this, families sometimes are divided into

groups according to how much money they make in a year. Please indicate the group which comes closest to the amount of TOTAL family income your parents/guardians make or made in

a year.

\$10,999 or less

\$10,000 - 16,999

\$17,000 - 22,999

\$23,000 - 29,999

\$30,000 - 49,999

\$50,000 or more

Don't know





BEST COPY AVAILABLE

95